SEPP 64 Compliance Table

Criteria	Assessment
1. Character of the Area	The proposed fascia sign size is sympathetic to the building's
Is the proposal compatible with the	architecture, general built environment
existing or desired future character of	and surrounding character of the area.
the area or locality in which it is	The design of the sign is complementary
proposed to be located?	to the streetscape given it is setback
proposed to be reduced.	behind landscaping, mounted to the wall
Is the proposal consistent with a	and constrained in size as is required by
particular theme for outdoor advertising	the DCP for rural signage.
in the area or locality?	
2. Special Areas	The proposed fascia sign will not
•	detract from the amenity or visual
Does the proposal detract from the	quality of any heritage areas,
amenity or visual quality of any	environmentally sensitive areas,
environmentally sensitive areas,	natural/conservation areas, open
heritage areas, natural or other	space areas, waterways, landscapes or
conservation areas, open space areas,	residential areas as it is not
waterways, rural landscapes or	freestanding in the landscape, but
residential areas?	is rather mounted to a wall.
3. Views and Vistas	The sign will not compromise or obscure important views or vistas.
Does the proposal obscure or	The proposed sign will be mounted to
compromise important views?	the fascia of a one storey child care facility and will not dominate the
Does the proposal dominate the skyline and reduce the quality of vistas?	skyline.
Does the proposal respect the viewing rights of other advertisers?	
4. Streetscape, Setting or Landscape	The proposed fascia sign is designed in a satisfactory scale,
Is the scale, proportion and form of the	proportion and form and is in keeping with
proposal appropriate for the	the character of the streetscape. The
streetscape, setting or landscape?	proposed sign will contribute to the visual interest of the building and the
Does the proposal contribute to the	local area, subject to detailed design
visual interest of the streetscape,	being provided prior to the issue of a
setting or landscape?	Construction Certificate. The proposed sign does not protrude
Does the proposal reduce clutter by	above any buildings.
rationalising and simplifying existing advertising?	
aaverusnig:	
Does the proposal screen unsightliness?	
Does the proposal protrude above buildings, structures or tree canopies in the area of the locality?	

Document Set ID: 9446381 Version: 1, Version Date: 19/01/2021

Does the proposal require ongoing vegetation management?	
5. Site and Building Is the proposal compatible with the scale, proportion and other characteristics of the site or building, or	The proposed sign is in proportion with the scale of the building, is compatible with the building's characteristics by way of size and location, and will enhance its features
both, on which the proposed signage is to be located? Does the proposal respect important	and appearance.
features of the site or building, or both?	
6. Associated Devices and Logos with	The proposed business identification
Advertisements and Advertising	sign will display the name of the company
Structures	that runs the child care facility. The detailed design will be provided to Council
Have any safety devices, platforms,	prior to the issue of a Construction
lighting devices or logos been design	Certificate.
as an integral part of the signage or	
structure on which it is to be displayed?	
7. Illumination	No illumination is proposed, nor is it considered acceptable in the rural
Would illumination result in	setting.
unacceptable glare?	
Would illumination affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft?	
Would illumination detract from the amenity of any residence or other form of accommodation?	
Can the intensity of the illumination be adjusted, if necessary?	
Is the illumination subject to a curfew?	
8. Safety	As a fascia sign, it is envisioned that the proposed sign will not
Would the proposal reduce the safety	reduce safety to roads,
for any public road?	pedestrians or cyclists during the installation process and in perpetuity.
Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrian and cyclists?	motanation process and in perpetuity.
Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians, particularly children, by obscuring sightlines from public areas?	

Document Set ID: 9446381 Version: 1, Version Date: 19/01/2021