<i>Penrith Development Control Plan 2014</i>	Clause/ Control Description	Planning Assessment Compliance: Yes/No	Planning Assessment			
Part C10 – Transport, Access and Parking						
Transport and Land Use	Transport and Land Use	NA	The proposal relates to an existing shopping centre, and no change of use or development that would increase traffic generation are proposed.			
Traffic Management and Safety	Traffic Studies a) Development applications for major development proposals should be accompanied by an appropriate Traffic Report. The Traffic Report should detail the assessed impact of projected pedestrian and vehicular traffic associated with the proposal, with recommendations on the extent and nature of the traffic facilities necessary to preserve or improve the safety and efficiency of the adjacent road system. b) A Traffic Report must be provided for applications required to be referred to the Roads and Maritime Services (RMS) under Column 2 and a Traffic Impact Statement for Column 3 of SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007. c) Depending on the scale, type and nature of the use proposed, Council may determine that a Traffic Report or Traffic Impact Statement is required for certain development which is not	YES	The proposal is not for 'traffic generating development', but owing to the nature of the works which directly relate to car parking and vehicle access, a Traffic Design Statement has been prepared ( <b>Appendix 6</b> ).			



Penrith Development	Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014	Description	Assessment	Fighting Assessment
		Compliance:	
		Yes/No	
	listed under Column 2 or		
	3 of SEPP (Infrastructure)		
	2007.		
	d) Any Traffic Report or		
	Traffic Impact Statement is required to address the		
	following issues:		
	<i>i) The objectives of</i>		
	this section		
	relating to		
	transport and		
	land use;		
	<i>ii) The objectives of</i>		
	this section		
	relating to traffic		
	management and		
	safety; iii) The objectives		
	and controls of		
	this section		
	relating to traffic		
	generating		
	developments;		
	and iv) The		
	issues set out in		
	Appendix F3 –		
	Submission		
	Requirements of this DCP.		
	UNS DCP.		
	e) Any development		
	identified in Schedule 3 of		
	State Environmental		
	Planning Policy		
	(Infrastructure) 2007 is		
	either referred to RMS		
	(Column 2 developments)		
	or Council's Local Traffic		
	Development Committee		
	(Column 3 developments) for assessment and		
	conditions as required.		
	conultons as required.		
	Road Safety	YES	The proposed car park reconfiguration
	a) Each development		has been designed to improve safety for pedestrians and vehicles.
	should demonstrate how		peacourano ana venicico.
	it will:		
<u>.</u>			<u> </u>



Penrith Development		Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014		Description	Assessment	Finding Assessment
		2 coor paron	Compliance:	
			Yes/No	
	i)	Provide safe	-	The design of vehicle access routes,
		entry and exit for		pedestrian paths and car parking spaces
		vehicles and		will minimise the potential for vehicle-
		pedestrians which		vehicle and vehicle-pedestrian conflict by
		reflect the		providing compliant driveway widths,
		proposed land		unobstructed sight lines and continuous
		use, and the		pedestrian paths. Traffic over-flow and
		operating speed		queuing will be minimised compared to
		and character of		the current situation.
		the road;		
	ii)	Minimise the		Additionally, loading zones will remain
		potential for		suitably separated from car parking and
		vehicular/pedestri		pedestrian paths.
		an conflicts,		
		providing		Existing site access will be retained, and
		protection for		therefore no disruption will be incurred
		pedestrians		to the operation of the surrounding road
		where necessary;		network. By reducing traffic overflow and
	iii)	Not restrict traffic		queuing through facilitating more
		flow or create a		efficient circulation through the site, the
		hazard to traffic		proposal will also improve the interface
		on roads in the		between the shopping centre and
		vicinity of the development;		surrounding road network.
	iv)	Provide suitable		
	10)	off-street parking		
		facilities to		
		accommodate		
		vehicles		
		generated by the		
		development;		
		and		
	V)	Identify the need,		
	Ĺ	where apparent,		
		for any additional		
		on-street traffic		
		facilities or road		
		works which may		
		be required to		
		maintain the safe		
		and efficient		
		movement of		
		vehicles and		
		pedestrians.		
	63.1	Alberta Facilita		
	-	Where feasible, vehicle		
		ess for developments		
	100 0.0	uld be from service		
	road	ds/lanes.		



Penrith Development Control Plan 2014	Clause/ Control Description	Planning Assessment Compliance: Yes/No	Planning Assessment
	<ul> <li>c) The design of direct vehicular access to developments should consider the traffic impacts on the surrounding road network. This may require the provision of deceleration, acceleration, right turn lanes and road widening, as necessary.</li> <li>d) Provision must be made for all vehicles to enter and leave properties in a forward direction other than for single dwellings.</li> <li>e) The layout and design of parking areas must minimise vehicle to pedestrian impacts, especially where heavy vehicle access to loading docks is proposed.</li> </ul>		
	Traffic Generating Development a) New access points off arterial, sub arterial or other major roads is to be avoided where alternate access opportunities exist. b) Any development identified in Schedule 3 of State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007 is either referred to RMS (Column 2 developments) or Council's Local Traffic Development Committee (Column 3 developments)	YES	The proposal will not introduce any new access points, but will make use of existing access. The proposal is not for traffic generating development.



Penrith Development Control Plan 2014	Clause/ Control Description	Planning Assessment Compliance: Yes/No	Planning Assessment
	conditions as required.		
Key Transport Corridors	Key Transport Corridors	NA	The site is not located on a road identified as a key transport corridor.
Roads	Roads	NA	The proposal does not include any new roads.
Parking, Access and Driveways	Provision of Parking Spaces		
	<i>a) Parking provided on site is to meet AS 2890 and where appropriate, AS 1428.</i>	YES	Newly line-marked car parking spaces will comply with relevant Australian Standards.
	<i>b) For any proposed development, Council will require the provision of on-site car parking to a standard appropriate to the intensity of the proposed development as set out in Table C10.2 below.</i>	YES	The proposal preserves 411 of the 416 existing car parking spaces on the site, and will retain adequate car parking to support the demand generated by the shopping centre (98.8% of car parking preserved). The minor reduction in car parking is incidental to the changes required to reduce congestion and improve queuing impacts across the site. Given the proposal achieves the key objectives of improving driver amenity, the minimal loss of car parking is considered acceptable. This is confirmed within the Traffic Design Statement at <b>Appendix 6</b> .
	c) Within rural zones, the range of possible uses of land is very broad. Car parking is to be provided in accordance with Table C10.2: Car Parking Rates. If parking rates for the use is not listed, it will be the applicant's responsibility to demonstrate that adequate parking is provided.	NA	The site is not located within a rural area.
	d) For commercial developments providing	NA	The proposal does not involve any new GFA or development that would increase



Clause/ Control Description Joyment for 20 ble or more, bicycle ing is to be in secure accessible locations, provided with ther protection. The wing associated ties are to be ided: Change and shower for cyclists and are to be conveniently located close to the bicycle storage areas. Where the	Planning Assessment Compliance: Yes/No	Planning Assessment the number of persons employed at the site. No new bike parking is included within the DA scope.
ble or more, bicycle ing is to be in secure accessible locations, provided with ther protection. The wing associated ties are to be ided: Change and shower for cyclists and are to be conveniently located close to the bicycle storage areas. Where the		site. No new bike parking is included within
ble or more, bicycle ing is to be in secure accessible locations, provided with ther protection. The wing associated ties are to be ided: Change and shower for cyclists and are to be conveniently located close to the bicycle storage areas. Where the		site. No new bike parking is included within
building is to be strata-titled, the		
strata-titled, the bicycle storage facilities and shower/ change facilities are to be made available to all occupants of the building. or existing lopments, a new use t not commence or foor area increased the required car spaces have been ided on the site,	NA	No new use is proposed.
outlined in Table 2. the absence of ific requirements vant to particular lopments, the	YES	The proposal preserves 411 of the 416 existing car parking spaces on the site, and will retain adequate car parking to support the demand generated by the shopping centre (98.8% of car parking preserved).
	spaces have been ided on the site, esponding to the land outlined in Table 2. the absence of ific requirements rant to particular lopments, the ing requirements in RTA's "Guide to fic Generating elopments" (as	spaces have been ided on the site, esponding to the land outlined in Table 2. the absence of ific requirements rant to particular lopments, the ing requirements in RTA's "Guide to fic Generating



Penrith Development	Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014	Description	Assessment Compliance: Yes/No	
	2 - 2004 should be referred to as a guide. In the absence of all data, the applicant should revert to the use of first principles.		The minor reduction in car parking is incidental to the changes required to reduce congestion and improve queuing impacts across the site. Given the proposal achieves the key objectives of improving driver amenity, the minimal loss of car parking is considered acceptable.
			This is confirmed within the Traffic Design Statement at <b>Appendix 6</b> .
	g) Where relevant, development shall provide on-site loading facilities to accommodate the anticipated heavy vehicle demand for the site.	YES	Existing on-site loading facilities will be preserved and will continue to service the site.
	h) Stacked parking will not be permitted for visitor spaces for any development.	YES	No stacked car parking is proposed.
	<i>i) Stacked parking in commercial or industrial development may be permitted for employee spaces only, provided the number of stacked spaces does not account for more than 10% of the total required parking spaces.</i>	NA	No stacked car parking is proposed.
	j) Car parking above ground level is to have a minimum floor to ceiling height of 2.8m so it may be adapted to another use in the future.	NA	All car parking will be provided at ground level. No above-ground structures are proposed.
	k) Car parking and associated internal manoeuvring areas provided over and beyond the requirements of this DCP shall be	NA	The proposal relates to the existing car park and circulation roads within the site, and will not increase the number of parking spaces provided. No new GFA is proposed.



Penrith Development	Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014	Description	Assessment	
		Compliance:	
	calculated as part of the	Yes/No	
	calculated as part of the development's gross floor		
	area.		
	l) Where possible, natural	NA	No underground car parking is proposed.
	ventilation is to be provided to underground		
	provided to underground parking areas with		
	ventilation grilles and		
	structures that are:		
	i) integrated into		
	the overall façade and landscape		
	design of the		
	development;		
	ii) located away		
	from the primary street façade;		
	and		
	iii) oriented away		
	from windows of		
	habitable rooms		
	and private open space areas.		
	space areas.		
	m) Proposals for		
	basement parking areas	NA	No basement parking is proposed.
	are to be accompanied with a geotechnical report		
	prepared by an		
	appropriately qualified		
	professional and any		
	other supporting		
	<i>information to the Development Application.</i>		
	n) For all residential		
	development at least one	NA	The proposal is not for residential
	car parking space for each dwelling shall be		development.
	covered the second space		
	may be "stacked" or		
	"tandem" or located on a		
	driveway.		
	Waiver or Reduction of		
	Parking Spaces		
	5,		



Penrith Development	Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014	Description	Assessment	
		Compliance:	
		Yes/No	
	a) Council has the discretion to waive or reduce the number of car spaces required for a particular site if the reduced provision can be justified in a Traffic Impact Statement, in terms of: i) Proximity to public transport nodes; ii) Opportunity to share parking with another use; or iii) An empirical assessment of car parking.	YES	The proposal preserves 411 of the 416 existing car parking spaces on the site, and will retain adequate car parking to support the demand generated by the shopping centre (98.8% of car parking preserved). The minor reduction in car parking is incidental to the changes required to reduce congestion and improve queuing impacts across the site. Given the proposal achieves the key objectives of improving driver amenity, the minimal loss of car parking is considered acceptable. This is confirmed within the Traffic Design Statement at <b>Appendix 6</b> .
	b) Council may consider a monetary contribution in lieu of parking shortfall in certain circumstances where a waiver or reduction of parking spaces cannot be justified. All such cases will be considered on their individual merit and the contribution will be based on the current parking rate in respect of off-street parking demand generated by the development but not satisfied on the site. The parking contribution will be based on the actual cost of providing additional parking off site.	ΝΑ	The proposed minor reduction in parking spaces (1.2% reduction) is justified on the basis of reducing congestion, improving queuing impacts and improving driver amenity. Given the proposal achieves the key objectives of improving driver amenity, the minimal loss of car parking is considered acceptable. This is confirmed within the Traffic Design Statement at <b>Appendix 6</b> .
	Design of Parking and Manoeuvring Areas a) Car space dimensions must comply with the relevant Australian Standards.	YES	The dimensions of all car parking spaces comply with relevant Australian Standards.



Penrith Development	Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014	Description	Assessment Compliance:	
		Yes/No	
	<i>b) The movement of</i> <i>pedestrians throughout</i> <i>the car park should be</i> <i>clearly delineated and be</i> <i>visible for all users of the</i> <i>car park to minimise</i> <i>conflict with vehicles. The</i> <i>car parking and</i> <i>manoeuvring layout</i> <i>should be in accordance</i> <i>with the provisions of AS</i> <i>2890.1 - 2004.</i>	YES	The proposal provides a continuous, accessible network of pedestrian paths and crossings through the car parking area, connecting the shopping centre entry to the pedestrian crossing at Botany Lane, the pedestrian crossing at Bennet Road, disabled parking spaces, the McDonalds restaurant and Endeavour Avenue, as shown in the Site Plan at <b>Appendix 4</b> . The layout of the car park, manoeuvring areas and circulation routes comply with relevant Australian Standards.
	c) Provision of parking spaces for disabled persons should be in accordance with the Access to Premises Standards, the Building Code of Australia and AS2890.	YES	The proposal provides nine (9) disabled spaces conveniently located in proximity of the shopping centre entrance and designed in accordance with the relevant DDA and Australian Standards.
	d) Council will require all car parking areas to be constructed of hard standing, all weather material, with parking bays and circulation aisles clearly delineated.	YES	All parking areas and circulation routes will be constructed of hard-standing, all- weather material. Parking bays and circulation aisles will be clearly line marked.
	e) Vehicle access is to be integrated into the building design as to be visually recessive.	YES	Existing vehicle access to the site from the surrounding streets is to be maintained.
	f) It will be necessary for the method of treating and minimising runoff from parking and access areas to be addressed as part of any development application.	YES	A Stormwater Concept Plan has been prepared to support the DA and is provided at <b>Appendix 5</b> .
	g) For development in the R4 High Density Residential zone, use	NA	The site is not zoned R4.



Penrith Development	Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014	Description	Assessment	<u> </u>
		Compliance: Yes/No	
	semi-pervious materials for all uncovered parts of driveways and parking areas to assist with stormwater infiltration.		
	<i>h) Large car parking</i> <i>areas (more than 5</i> <i>vehicles) should be</i> <i>visually separated from</i> <i>access roads and from</i> <i>the buildings they serve</i> <i>by planting and other</i> <i>landscaping and should</i> <i>not be visually prominent</i> <i>from public roads, either</i> <i>through separation or</i> <i>screening.</i>	YES	Existing landscaping within the site will predominantly be retained, with the exception of some planters within car parking areas. The removal of some planters is required to widen traffic aisles to accommodate the functional flow of traffic. Where planters will not compromise the safe and efficient movement of vehicles, trees will be reinstated. Additionally, all landscaping adjacent to the street frontages that is external to the site boundaries will be preserved. Therefore the site will continue to be adequately screened by vegetation.
	i) All vehicles must be able to enter and leave the site in a forward direction without the need to make more than a three point turn.	YES	All vehicles will enter and exit the site in a forward direction.
	<i>j) Council may require the provision of internal directional signs to assist site visitors in locating parking areas.</i>	YES	Internal directional signs will direct customers through the car park.
	k) For residential development, other than a single residence, the minimum space width shall provide for full door opening in accordance with Table B1 of AS2890.1 – 2004.	NA	The proposal is not for residential development.
	<i>I) The design of the car park should ensure that passive surveillance is possible and, where appropriate, incorporate</i>	YES	Existing levels of passive surveillance and formal security will be maintained. Car parking areas have been designed to avoid places of concealment or entrapment, lighting has been



Penrith Development Control Plan 2014	Clause/ Control Description	Planning Assessment Compliance:	Planning Assessment
		Yes/No	
	active measures such as cameras and security patrols. Car parks should be designed to minimise dark areas through the provision of appropriate lighting.		strategically located to ensure visibility through the day and night, and car parking areas are visible from the shopping centre entrance, surrounding streets and other land uses on the site (including the fast food restaurants and service station).
	<i>m)Access to security</i> <i>parking shall be designed</i> <i>to ensure the access</i> <i>mechanism is accessible</i> <i>to the vehicle driver on</i> <i>the entry side of the</i> <i>driveway.</i>	NA	No security parking is proposed.
	n) Provision should be made for all vehicles to enter and exit a secure (i.e. boom-gated) area in a forward direction.	NA	No boom-gated area is proposed.
	<i>o) Visitor parking should be provided outside the secured parking areas.</i>	NA	All parking will be accessible to customers. No secured parking is proposed.
	p) The design of car parks should ensure adequate separation of staff/visitor parking and loading dock circulation areas for heavy vehicles.	YES	Loading zones will remain suitably separated from car parking and pedestrian paths.
	<i>q) Vehicular ramps less than 20m long within developments and parking stations must have a maximum grade of 1 in 5 (20%). Ramp widths must be in accordance with AS2890.</i>	NA	No vehicle ramps are required. All parking is provided at-grade.
	r) Access ways to underground parking should be sited to minimise noise impacts on adjacent habitable rooms, particularly bedrooms.	NA	No underground parking is proposed.



<i>Penrith Development Control Plan 2014</i>	Clause/ Control Description	Planning Assessment Compliance: Yes/No	Planning Assessment
	s) Loading docks associated with the development shall be provided on-site, with all loading and unloading activities occurring on- site.	YES	Existing loading zones will be maintained as a result of the proposal and will continue to service the shopping centre and other uses on the site.
	<ul> <li>t) All loading and unloading areas are to be: <ul> <li>i) integrated into the design of developments,</li> <li>ii) separated from car parking and waste storage and collection areas,</li> </ul> </li> <li>iii) located away from the circulation path of other vehicles,</li> <li>iv) provided separately for commercial/retail and residential uses, where part of a mixed use development, and</li> <li>v) designed for commercial vehicle circulation and access complying with AS 2890.2.</li> </ul>	YES	Existing loading zones will be maintained as a result of the proposal and will continue to service the shopping centre and other uses on the site. Loading zones will remain suitably separated from car parking and pedestrian paths.
	<i>u) Vehicular access to the loading / unloading area(s) is preferred off rear lanes, side streets and right of ways. Where appropriate, consider a single vehicular access point for the loading/unloading area(s)</i>	YES	Existing loading zones will be maintained as a result of the proposal and will continue to service the shopping centre and other uses on the site. The primary zone is located to the rear of the site, and is accessed by Botany Lane which adjoins the rear and side site boundaries. Loading zones will remain suitably separated from car parking and pedestrian paths.



Penrith Development Control Plan 2014	Clause/ Control Description	Planning Assessment Compliance: Yes/No	Planning Assessment
	and waste collection area(s). v) Secure multi-deck car parks should incorporate communication devices such as: i) Intercoms at boom gates; ii) Public address systems; iii) Telephones; or iv) Emergency alarms.	NA	No multi-deck parking structures are proposed.
	<i>w)</i> To ensure users of secure multi-deck car parks are easily able to determine the location of exit and access points, security intercoms or similar and appropriate signage are to be included.	NA	No multi-deck parking structures are proposed.
	x) All surfaces in the car park should be painted in light coloured paint or finished in light grey concrete to reflect as much light as possible.	NA	No multi-deck parking structures are proposed. Existing car park and road finishes will be maintained.
	y) All potential entrapment points should be avoided, e.g. under stairs, blind corners and wide columns. Adequate lighting and mirrors should be used when certain design features are unavoidable.	NA	No multi-deck parking structures are proposed. The design of the car park avoids entrapment points and maximises passive surveillance given than parking areas are viable from the surrounding streets, the shopping centre and other uses on the site.
	z) Access, parking, manoeuvring and loading facilities for commercial and industrial development shall be in accordance with AS 2890.2 - 2004 and	YES	All access, parking, loading and circulation areas have been designed in accordance with relevant Australian Standards.



Penrith Development	Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014	Description	Assessment	
		Compliance:	
		Yes/No	
	accommodate vehicle types as outlined in Table		
	<i>C10.3.</i>		
	aa) Council may require a	NA	The proposal does not relate to a
	development to cater for vehicles larger than the		transport depot or warehouse. As a result of the proposal, access and
	minimum specified above		facilities for service and waste collection
	where the development is		vehicles will be maintained.
	for uses such as a		
	transport depot, warehouse, etc. All		
	service vehicles must		
	enter and exit the		
	development site in a forward direction.		
Access and	General Requirements		
Driveways			
	a) The road access to the	YES	Existing access to the site from the
	site should provide for safe entry to and exit		surrounding streets will be preserved. All site ingress and egress will be in a
	from the site. All vehicles		forward direction.
	must enter/exit the site in		
	a forward direction.		
	b) The entry and exit	YES	Existing access will be preserved.
	from the site should	<ul> <li>Tel 4.8 - Oddsault</li> </ul>	5
	provide for appropriate		
	traffic sight distance in both directions, in		
	accordance with the		
	provisions of AS2890.1		
	and 2 - 2004 for car		
	parking and commercial vehicles respectively.		
	c) The design of the	YES	Existing access will be preserved. By
	development driveway should take into		reducing traffic overflow and queuing through facilitating more efficient
	consideration the traffic		circulation through the site, the proposal
	volumes of the		will also minimise disruption to the
	surrounding road		surrounding road network.
	network.		
	d) Driveways should be:	YES	Existing access will be preserved.
	i) Provided from		Accordingly, the existing interface
	lanes and		between the site's access points and the
	secondary streets		surrounding road network, services,



Penrith Development	Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014	Description	Assessment	<b>,</b>
		Compliance: Yes/No	
	<ul> <li>rather than the primary street, wherever practical;</li> <li>ii) Located taking into account any services located within the road reserve, such as power poles, drainage inlet pits and existing street trees;</li> <li>iii) Setback a minimum of 6m from the perpendicular of any intersection of any two roads; and</li> <li>iv) Located to minimise noise and amenity impacts on adjacent residential development.</li> </ul>	Yes/No	intersections and adjoining development, will be maintained.
	e) The driveway crossing and access roads shall be designed in accordance with the provisions of AS2890.1 and 2 - 2004 for car parking and commercial vehicles respectively.	YES	Existing access will be preserved.
	f) Driveway widths must comply with the relevant Australian Standards.	YES	Existing access will be preserved. New internal circulation routes within the site will comply with relevant Australian Standards.
	g) Driveway grades, vehicular ramp width/grades and passing bays must be in accordance with the relevant Australian Standard (AS2890.1).	YES	All newly-marked parking and circulation areas will comply with relevant Australian Standards.



Penrith Development Control Plan 2014	Clause/ Control Description	Planning Assessment	Planning Assessment
		Compliance: Yes/No	
	h) Access to basement parking shall have an entry threshold a minimum of 300mm above the top of the kerb. The threshold shall be increased within areas of flooding or local overland flows to a minimum of 300mm above the flood level. The design of the development shall ensure that floodwater cannot enter the car park in a 1% Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) flood event.	NA	No basement parking is proposed.
	i) The required threshold should be set within the property to prevent cross fall greater than 4% within the footway area.	YES	Existing gradients will be maintained.
	j) No direct access will be permitted to the M4 Western Motorway.	YES	The site is not located in immediate proximity of the M4.
	Design a) For rural subdivisions, the width of sealed surface shall be determined at the time of subdivision taking into consideration the intensity of use, landscaping proposals, servicing requirements and drainage design. Roads should be designed to enhance the rural character and long stretches of straight road should be avoided.	NA	The proposal does not relate to rural subdivision.



Penrith Development	Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014	Description	Assessment	
		Compliance: Yes/No	
	b) All driveways (including in rural and environmental zones) are to be sealed from the point of the public road up to and including the hard-stand parking areas.	YES	All driveways will be sealed.
	c) The design of rural driveways shall ensure that stormwater is not impounded, concentrated or redirected onto adjoining properties.	NA	The site is not located in a rural area.
	Construction Standards a) Roads shall be	NA	No new roads are proposed.
	constructed to Council's standards in consultation with Council's Engineering Services Unit and Council's 'Guidelines for Engineering Works - Development and Subdivision'.		
	b) Design drawings should be accompanied by details of the erosion and sediment control measures that are to be implemented during construction.	NA	The proposal relates to existing car parking areas and will not impact on erosion or sediment.
	Dedication		
	a) New road reservations and rights-of-way shall be dedicated or created at no cost to Council.	NA	The proposal does not create any new roads or rights-of-way.
	Access to Allotments Created in Subdivision a) New allotments must	NA	The proposal does not relate to subdivision or any new allotments.
	have direct access to dedicated public roads.		



Penrith Development	Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014	Description	Assessment	<b>,</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	-	Compliance:	
		Yes/No	
	b) Where battle-axe		
	subdivision is supported,		
	the following controls		
	apply:		
	i) The battle-axe		
	width is generally		
	to be a minimum		
	of 10m. Where		
	two battle axe		
	developments		
	adjoin reciprocal		
	rights-of-carriage		
	way may be		
	permitted;		
	ii) The battle-axe		
	handle is to be		
	stabilised or		
	sealed depending		
	on the		
	anticipated		
	intensity of use; and		
	iii) The line of any		
	sealed or		
	stabilised area		
	within the battle-		
	axe handle		
	should be varied		
	and landscaped		
	where		
	appropriate to		
	avoid a		
	'gunbarrel'		
	appearance.		
	c) Passing bays will be		
	required for the		
	following:		
	<i>i)</i> Entry/exit of all		
	properties;		
	<i>ii) Access handles;</i> <i>iii) More than one</i>		
	iii) More than one		
	allotment; and iv) Change in		
	direction of the		
	access handle.		
	d) Bushfire requirements		
	must be considered when		



Penrith Development	Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014	Description	Assessment	
		Compliance:	
	designing access roads	Yes/No	
	for subdivisions of land		
	which is classified as		
	'bushfire prone land'.		
	Access arrangements must include adequate		
	provision for turning		
	areas and emergency		
	access.		
	Responding to		
	Topography		
	a) Natural contours	NA	No new driveways are to be constructed.
	should be followed when		The proposal relates to the existing car
	designing and		park within the shopping centre site.
	constructing driveways. Driveways should be		
	located to retain as much		
	of the property's		
	vegetation as practicable.		
	b) Any new private access roads or driveways	NA	No new access roads or driveway connections are proposed.
	that connect to a public		connections are proposed.
	road should be sealed		
	with asphalt or another		
	suitable surface from the public road to prevent		
	erosion and minimise		
	dust and dirt transfer.		
Pedestrian	1) Footpaths should have	YES	All footpaths through the car park have
Connections	ramps at all kerb corners		been designed in accordance with
	for wheelchairs and pram		relevant Australian Standards and DDA
	access and cater for all people with diverse		requirements.
	abilities in line with		
	current Australian		
	Standards.		
	2) Street lighting in	NA	The proposal does not relate to any
	accordance with the		urban streets or rural roads. Existing
	provisions of AS1158 should be present in all		lighting within the car park will be preserved ensuring a high level of
	urban streets, while on		visibility whilst avoiding glare.
	rural traffic routes in		
	general only intersections		
	will be lit.		



Penrith Development Control Plan 2014	Clause/ Control Description	Planning Assessment Compliance: Yes/No	Planning Assessment
	3) Pedestrian crossing distances in local streets should be shortened through kerb extensions and tight turning radii, which can cause vehicular traffic to slow to negotiate the tighter corners.	YES	The existing pedestrian crossings traversing Botany Lane and Endeavour Avenue will be preserved as a result of the proposal. Pedestrian routes through the car park have been complemented by design features to reduce vehicle speeds, prioritise pedestrians and avoid pedestrian-vehicle conflict.
	<ul> <li>4) To enable comfortable passage for all people with diverse abilities, footpaths must be:</li> <li>i) Provided on both sides of the road in urban areas;</li> <li>ii) A minimum of 1.5m wide along collector and all lower order streets; and</li> <li>iii) A minimum of 2.5m on approach routes to predictable destinations such as schools, parks and shopping precincts. (Three metre paths or wider are preferred).</li> </ul>	YES	Pedestrian routes through the car park have been designed to facilitate access for people of all abilities. DDA compliance is confirmed within the Access Statement at <b>Appendix 7</b> .
	5) Where street trees are not required to provide protection from passing cars for people on footpaths, a minimum outer nature strip of 0.5m on both sides of the street should be provided. Kerbs should be 'barrier' not 'rollover' design.	ΝΑ	The proposed works are contained within the site boundaries and will not affect any street trees or nature strips.
	6) A durable, non-slip surface and even paving	YES	Pedestrian routes through the car park have been designed to facilitate



Penrith Development	Clause/ Control	Planning	Planning Assessment
Control Plan 2014	Description	Assessment Compliance:	
		Yes/No	
	<i>is to be designed and constructed for minimum maintenance. Continuous pathways, uninterrupted by variations in surface material must be provided.</i>		continuous access for people of all abilities. DDA compliance is confirmed within the Access Statement at <b>Appendix 7</b> .
	7) Gradients from pathways to streets are to be minimal, safe and comfortable for people with limited mobility and those using wheelchairs, prams and trolleys in line with current Australian Standards.	YES	Pedestrian routes through the car park have been designed to facilitate access for people of all abilities. DDA compliance is confirmed within the Access Statement at <b>Appendix 7</b> .
	8) Gradients and ramps must be aligned with desired paths of travel for pedestrians and cyclists.	YES	Pedestrian routes through the car park have been designed to facilitate access for people of all abilities. DDA compliance is confirmed within the Access Statement at <b>Appendix7</b> .
	9) A smooth transition from ramps to roads is to be provided for people using wheelchairs or prams. Ramps should be designed in accordance with appropriate design guidelines Transport, Access and Parking and be as wide as the pathway or marked crossing point to eliminate squeeze points at transition areas.	YES	Pedestrian routes through the car park have been designed to facilitate access for people of all abilities. DDA compliance is confirmed within the Access Statement at <b>Appendix 7</b> .
	10) Reconstructed driveways/pathways are to achieve a useable cross slope for a width of 915mm. Cars must slow to negotiate the two steeper ramps on either side of the pathway crossing, but will not	NA	No new ramps are to be constructed.



Penrith Development Control Plan 2014	Clause/ Control Description	Planning Assessment Compliance: Yes/No	Planning Assessment
	'bottom out' at these angles.		
Bicycle Facilities	Bicycle Facilities	NA	The proposal does not relate to any bicycle facilities.



Document Set ID: 8075468 Version: 1, Version Date: 02/03/2018