



SMEC Testing Services Pty Ltd

ACN 101 164 792 ABN 22 101 164 792

CONSULTING GEOTECHNICAL & ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS

Phone: (02) 9756 2166 Fax: (02) 9756 1137
Email: enquiries@smectesting.com.au

Unit 14
1 Cowpasture Place
WETHERILL PARK
NSW 2164

PO BOX 6889
Wetherill Park
NSW 2164

June 13, 2013
Project No. 19161/0013
Report No. 13/0931
LWI/ms

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

Client: McDonald Jones Homes
Address: Lot 2183 Adina Street, Jordan Springs
Proposed Development: Residential dwelling

Site Description

Approx. area (m²): 510
Approx. fall: Near level, reasonable site drainage
Vegetation: Nil
Improvements: Vacant – new estate

Geology, Fieldwork Details and Subsurface Conditions

The Penrith geological series sheet at a scale of 1:100,000 show the site is underlain by Triassic Age Bringelly Shale of the Wianamatta Group. Rocks within this formation comprise shale, claystone and laminite.

Two boreholes were drilled and two Dynamic cone penetrometer (DCP) tests were carried out on June 4, 2013 at the locations shown on Drawing No. 13/0931. The subsurface conditions encountered are shown on the attached borehole logs. Explanation sheets and notes relating to geotechnical reports are also attached.

When making an assessment of the subsurface conditions across a site from a limited number of boreholes, there is the possibility that variations may occur between test locations. The data derived from the site investigation programme are extrapolated across the site to form a geological model and an engineering opinion is rendered about overall subsurface conditions and their likely behaviour with regard to the proposed development. The actual condition at the site may differ from those inferred, since no subsurface exploration programme, no matter how comprehensive, can reveal all subsurface details and anomalies.

The subsurface conditions consist of fill overlying silty clays. The fill is 0.3 and 0.4 metres thick and appears to have been placed as engineered material. Firm to stiff becoming very stiff natural silty clays are present to the depth of drilling, 2.5 metres.

No groundwater was observed in the boreholes during the fieldwork.



Wind Classification

The classification has been carried out in accordance with the guidelines set out in AS4055-2006 “Wind loads for housing”.

The site is classified N1.

Laboratory Testing

In order to assist with determining the site classification, a shrink/swell test was carried out on a representative sample retrieved from the site. The detailed test report is attached and summarised below:

Location	Depth (m)	Material Description	Shrink/Swell Index (% per ΔpF)
BH1	0.6-0.8	Brown and grey silty clay	2.6

Site Classification

The classification has been prepared in accordance with the guidelines set out in the “Residential Slabs and Footings” Code, AS2870 - 2011.

Based on the subsurface conditions observed the site may be classified *moderately reactive (M)*, provided the recommendations given below are adopted.

Foundation design and construction consistent with this classification shall be adopted as specified in the above referenced standard and in accordance with the following design details.

Foundation Design and Construction

Pad and/or strip footings founded in natural materials underlying the fill may be proportioned using an allowable bearing pressure of 100 kPa. The minimum depth of founding must comply with the requirements of AS2870.

Piers founded in the very stiff materials may be proportioned using an allowable end bearing pressure of 450 kPa provided their depth to diameter ratio exceeds a value of 4. An adhesion value of 20 kPa may be adopted below a depth of 0.75 metres.

In order to ensure the bearing values given can be achieved, care should be taken to ensure the base of the excavations is free of all loose material prior to concreting. To this end, it is recommended that all excavations be concreted as soon as possible, preferably immediately after excavating, cleaning, inspecting and approval. Pier excavations should not be left open overnight. The possibility of groundwater inflow needs to be considered when drilling the piers and pouring concrete.



The site is considered suitable for slab on ground construction provided due regard is given to the ground surface slope.

During foundation construction, should the subsurface conditions vary to those inferred in this report, a suitably experienced geotechnical engineer should review the design and recommendations given above to determine if any alterations are required.

Soil Aggressiveness

The exposure classification for the concrete has been determined for the onsite soils. The exposure classification is obtained from Tables 5.1 and 5.2 of AS2870-2011. In regards to the electrical conductivity, the laboratory test results have been multiplied by the appropriate factor to convert the results to EC_e .

Detailed test reports are attached and summarised below, together with the exposure classification.

Sample No.	Electrical Conductivity (dS/m)		pH	Sulfate (ppm)	Exposure Classification
	$EC_{1.5}$	EC_e			
S1/0013	0.196	1.8	5.6	120	A1

The minimum concrete strength and reinforcement cover required for the various exposure classifications are given in Tables 5.3 and 5.4 of AS2870-2011.

Reference to DLWC (2002) "Site Investigations for Urban Salinity" indicates that an EC_e value of 1.8 dS/m is consistent with non-saline soils.

Additional Comments

Attention is drawn to Appendix B of AS2870 - 2011 regarding the need to properly maintain the foundations. Surface drainage should be provided to avoid the possibility of water ponding near the building and the finished ground surface should fall at least 50 mm over a distance of one metre away from the building.

The above classification has been made assuming that the maximum depth to filling placed in any building platform will be 400 mm and that all footings will bear in either natural ground or in control filling. Prior to the placement of any filling the existing surface should be stripped of all vegetation and topsoil.



The above classification is based on the soil profiles observed at the time of testing. If site works are undertaken, the classification of the actual building platform may vary across the site depending upon the extent of the cut and/or fill and the degree of compaction of any fill. The designer of the footing system must take the above factors into account.

If excavations for rainwater or detention tanks are to be made within 6 metres of the building foundations, advice should be sought regarding their effect on the foundations.

Placing absorption trenches on the high side of the property may create abnormal moisture conditions for the foundations (Refer to Section 1.3.3 of AS2870). This could have a negative effect on the foundation performance and more than likely alter the site classification provided above.

This report has been prepared assuming the site development will be limited to one or two storey residential buildings. The information and interpretation may not be relevant if the design proposal changes (e.g. to a five-storey building involving major cuts during the site preparation). If changes occur, we would be pleased to review the report and advise on the adequacy of the investigation.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'L. Ihnativ', is written over a faint, circular stamp.

Laurie Ihnativ, BE, MEngSc, MBA, FIE Aust.
Manager, SMEC Testing Services Pty Limited

NOTES RELATING TO GEOTECHNICAL REPORTS

Introduction

These notes have been provided to outline the methodology and limitations inherent in geotechnical reporting. The issues discussed are not relevant to all reports and further advice should be sought if there are any queries regarding any advice or report.

When copies of reports are made, they should be reproduced in full.

Geotechnical Reports

Geotechnical reports are prepared by qualified personnel on the information supplied or obtained and are based on current engineering standards of interpretation and analysis.

Information may be gained from limited subsurface testing, surface observations, previous work and is supplemented by knowledge of the local geology and experience of the range of properties that may be exhibited by the materials present. For this reason, geotechnical reports should be regarded as interpretative rather than factual documents, limited to some extent by the scope of information on which they rely.

Where the report has been prepared for a specific purpose (eg. design of a three-storey building), the information and interpretation may not be appropriate if the design is changed (eg. a twenty storey building). In such cases, the report and the sufficiency of the existing work should be reviewed by SMEC Testing Services Pty Limited in the light of the new proposal.

Every care is taken with the report content, however, it is not always possible to anticipate or assume responsibility for the following conditions:

- Unexpected variations in ground conditions. The potential for this depends on the amount of investigative work undertaken.
- Changes in policy or interpretation by statutory authorities.
- The actions of contractors responding to commercial pressures.

If these occur, SMEC Testing Services Pty Limited would be pleased to resolve the matter through further investigation, analysis or advice.

Unforeseen Conditions

Should conditions encountered on site differ markedly from those anticipated from the information contained in the report, SMEC

Testing Services Pty Limited should be notified immediately. Early identification of site anomalies generally results in any problems being more readily resolved and allows re-interpretation and assessment of the implications for future work.

Subsurface Information

Logs of a borehole, recovered core, test pit, excavated face or cone penetration test are an engineering and/or geological interpretation of the subsurface conditions. The reliability of the logged information depends on the drilling/testing method, sampling and/or observation spacings and the ground conditions. It is not always possible or economic to obtain continuous high quality data. It should also be recognised that the volume or material observed or tested is only a fraction of the total subsurface profile.

Interpretation of subsurface information and application to design and construction must take into consideration the spacing of the test locations, the frequency of observations and testing, and the possibility that geological boundaries may vary between observation points.

Groundwater observations and measurements outside of specially designed and constructed piezometers should be treated with care for the following reasons:

- In low permeability soils groundwater may not seep into an excavation or bore in the short time it is left open.
- A localised perched water table may not represent the true water table.
- Groundwater levels vary according to rainfall events or season.
- Some drilling and testing procedures mask or prevent groundwater inflow.

The installation of piezometers and long term monitoring of groundwater levels may be required to adequately identify groundwater conditions.

Supply of Geotechnical Information or Tendering Purposes

It is recommended tenderers are provided with as much geological and geotechnical information that is available and that where there are uncertainties regarding the ground conditions, prospective tenders should be provided with comments discussing the range of likely conditions in addition to the investigation data.

Client: McDonald Jones Homes		Project No.: 19161/0013		BOREHOLE NO.: BH 1		
Project: Lot 2183 Adina Street, Jordan Springs		Date: June 4, 2013		Sheet 1 of 1		
Location: Refer to Drawing No. 13/0931		Logged: MB				
W A T T A E B R L E	S A M P L E S	DEPTH (m)	DESCRIPTION OF DRILLED PRODUCT (Soil type, colour, grain size, plasticity, minor components, observations)	S Y M B O L	CONSISTENCY	M O I S T U R E
					(cohesive soils) or RELATIVE DENSITY (sands and gravels)	
	S1 0.1-0.2 m		SILTY CLAY: brown, trace of organics, low plasticity, trace of gravel	CL	VERY STIFF	D-M
			FILL			
		0.5	SILTY CLAY: brown and grey, medium plasticity	CL	FIRM TO STIFF	D-M
	U50				STIFF	
		1.0			VERY STIFF	
		1.5				
		2.0	SILTY CLAY: brown with grey, medium plasticity	CL	VERY STIFF	D-M
		2.5	BOREHOLE DISCONTINUED AT 2.5 M			
NOTES: D - disturbed sample U - undisturbed tube sample B - bulk sample				Contractor: STS		
WT - level of water table or free water N - Standard Penetration Test (SPT)				Equipment: Armstrong MKII		
See explanation sheets for meaning of all descriptive terms and symbols				Hole Diameter (mm): 100		
				Angle from Vertical (°) 0		

Client: McDonald Jones Homes		Project No.: 19161/0013		BOREHOLE NO.: BH 2		
Project: Lot 2183 Adina Street, Jordan Springs		Date: June 4, 2013		Sheet 1 of 1		
Location: Refer to Drawing No. 13/0931		Logged: MB				
W A T T A E B R L E	S A M P L E S	DEPTH (m)	DESCRIPTION OF DRILLED PRODUCT (Soil type, colour, grain size, plasticity, minor components, observations)	S Y M B O L	CONSISTENCY (cohesive soils) or RELATIVE DENSITY (sands and gravels)	M O I S T U R E
			SILTY CLAY: brown, trace of gravel, low plasticity, trace of organics	CL	VERY STIFF	D-M
			FILL			
		0.5	SILTY CLAY: brown with orange, medium plasticity	CL	VERY STIFF	D-M
		1.0			STIFF	
		1.5			VERY STIFF	
		2.0	SILTY CLAY: brown with grey and orange	CL	VERY STIFF	D-M
		2.5	BOREHOLE DISCONTINUED AT 2.5 M			
NOTES: D - disturbed sample U - undisturbed tube sample B - bulk sample WT - level of water table or free water N - Standard Penetration Test (SPT)				Contractor: STS Equipment: Armstrong MKII Hole Diameter (mm): 100 Angle from Vertical (°) 0		
See explanation sheets for meaning of all descriptive terms and symbols						

SMEC Testing Services Pty Ltd

14/1 Cowpasture Place, Wetherill Park NSW 2164

Phone: (02)9756 2166 Fax: (02)9756 1137 Email: enquiries@smectesting.com.au



NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 2750
 This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements.
 Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.
 This Document may not be reproduced except in full.

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Test Report

Project: LOT 2183 ADINA STREET, JORDAN SPRINGS

Project No.: 19161/0013

Client: McDONALD JONES HOMES

Report No.: 13/0931

Address: PO Box 7994, Baulkham Hills

Report Date: June 12, 2013

Test Method: AS 1289.6.3.2

Page: 1 of 1

Site No.	P1	P2				
Location	Refer to Drawing No. 13/0931	Refer to Drawing No. 13/0931				
Starting Level	Surface Level	Surface Level				
Depth (m)	Penetration Resistance (blows / 150mm)					
0.00 - 0.15	13	14				
0.15 - 0.30	7	7				
0.30 - 0.45	9	7				
0.45 - 0.60	5	8				
0.60 - 0.75	4	8				
0.75 - 0.90	3	2				
0.90 - 1.05	5	5				
1.05 - 1.20	6	6				
1.20 - 1.35	7	8				
1.35 - 1.50	10	9				
1.50 - 1.65	7	11				
1.65 - 1.80	6	12				
1.80 - 1.95	9	11				
1.95 - 2.10	8	12				
2.10 - 2.25	8	11				
2.25 - 2.40	7	12				
2.40 - 2.55	12	13				
2.55 - 2.70	Discontinued	Discontinued				
2.70 - 2.85						
2.85 - 3.00						
3.00 - 3.15						
3.15 - 3.30						
3.30 - 3.45						
3.45 - 3.60						
3.60 - 3.75						

Remarks: * Pre drilled prior to testing

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Laurie Ihnativ'.

Approved Signatory...

Technician: MB

Laurie Ihnativ - Manager

SMEC Testing Services Pty Ltd

14/1 Cowpasture Place, Wetherill Park NSW 2164
 Phone: (02)9756 2166 Fax: (02)9756 1137 Email: enquiries@smectesting.com.au



NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 2750
 This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements.
 Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.
 This Document may not be reproduced except in full.

Shrink Swell Index Report

Project: Lot 2183 Adina Street, Jordon Springs
Client: McDONALD JONES HOMES
 Address: PO Box 7994, Baulkham Hills NSW
 Test Method: AS1289.7.1.1

Project No.: 19161 / 3087C
 Report No.: 13/0895
 Report Date: 7/06/2013
 Page: 1 of 1

Sampling Procedure: AS 1289.1.3.1 Clause 3.1.3.2 - Thin Walled Sampler

STS / Sample No.	0013-1				
Sample Location	Borehole 1 Refer to Drawing				
Material Description	Silty Clay, brown & grey, trace of gravel				
Depth (m)	0.7 - 0.9				
Sample Date	4/06/2013				
Shrink	Moisture Content (%)	24.3			
	Soil Crumbling	Nil			
	Extent of Cracking	Open Cracks			
	Strain (%)	3.4			
Swell	Moisture Content Initial (%)	23.7			
	Moisture Content Final (%)	29.1			
	Strain (%)	2.7			
Inert Inclusions (%)	1.0				
Shrink Swell Index (%)	2.6				

Remarks:

Approved Signatory.. 
 Lincoln Coleman - Senior Geotechnician

Technician: LC

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<p>Work Order : ES1312625</p> <p>Client : SMEC TESTING SERVICES PTY LTD</p> <p>Contact : ALL REPORTS</p> <p>Address : P O BOX 6989 WETHERILL PARK NSW, AUSTRALIA 2164</p> <p>E-mail : enquiries@smectesting.com.au</p> <p>Telephone : ----</p> <p>Facsimile : ----</p> <p>Project : 19161 3087C</p> <p>Order number : 10209</p> <p>C-O-C number : 156986</p> <p>Sampler : MB</p> <p>Site : ----</p> <p>Quote number : EN/025/12</p>	<p>Page : 1 of 4</p> <p>Laboratory : Environmental Division Sydney</p> <p>Contact : Client Services</p> <p>Address : 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164</p> <p>E-mail : sydney@alsglobal.com</p> <p>Telephone : +61-2-8784 8555</p> <p>Facsimile : +61-2-8784 8500</p> <p>QC Level : NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement</p> <p>Date Samples Received : 04-JUN-2013</p> <p>Issue Date : 07-JUN-2013</p> <p>No. of samples received : 9</p> <p>No. of samples analysed : 9</p>
--	---

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results



NATA Accredited Laboratory 825
Accredited for compliance with
ISO/IEC 17025.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories indicated below. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

<i>Signatories</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Accreditation Category</i>
Hoa Nguyen	Senior Inorganic Chemist	Sydney Inorganics
Nanthini Coilparampil	Laboratory Manager - Inorganics	Sydney Inorganics
Raymond Commodor	Instrument Chemist	Sydney Inorganics



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)

				Client sample ID	S1/0023	S1/0012	S1/0013	S1/0014	S1/0015
				Client sampling date / time	[04-JUN-2013]	[04-JUN-2013]	[04-JUN-2013]	[04-JUN-2013]	[04-JUN-2013]
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES1312625-001	ES1312625-002	ES1312625-003	ES1312625-004	ES1312625-005
EA002 : pH (Soils)									
pH Value	----	0.1	pH Unit		5.8	7.0	5.6	7.8	6.9
EA010: Conductivity									
Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C	----	1	µS/cm		400	247	196	220	120
EA055: Moisture Content									
Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)	----	1.0	%		17.2	13.7	13.8	17.7	16.4
ED040S : Soluble Sulfate by ICPAES									
Sulfate as SO4 2-	14808-79-8	10	mg/kg		280	150	120	30	100



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)

Client sample ID

				S1/0016	S1/0017	S1/0018	S1/0019	----
				[04-JUN-2013]	[04-JUN-2013]	[04-JUN-2013]	[04-JUN-2013]	----
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1312625-006	ES1312625-007	ES1312625-008	ES1312625-009	----
EA002 : pH (Soils)								
pH Value	----	0.1	pH Unit	5.4	5.2	5.4	5.9	----
EA010: Conductivity								
Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C	----	1	µS/cm	153	265	325	300	----
EA055: Moisture Content								
Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)	----	1.0	%	21.8	16.8	13.8	14.8	----
ED040S : Soluble Sulfate by ICPAES								
Sulfate as SO4 2-	14808-79-8	10	mg/kg	80	260	170	290	----

QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

<p>Work Order : ES1312625</p> <p>Client : SMEC TESTING SERVICES PTY LTD</p> <p>Contact : ALL REPORTS</p> <p>Address : P O BOX 6989 WETHERILL PARK NSW, AUSTRALIA 2164</p> <p>E-mail : enquiries@smectesting.com.au</p> <p>Telephone : ----</p> <p>Facsimile : ----</p> <p>Project : 19161 3087C</p> <p>Site : ----</p> <p>C-O-C number : 156986</p> <p>Sampler : MB</p> <p>Order number : 10209</p> <p>Quote number : EN/025/12</p>	<p>Page : 1 of 4</p> <p>Laboratory : Environmental Division Sydney</p> <p>Contact : Client Services</p> <p>Address : 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164</p> <p>E-mail : sydney@alsglobal.com</p> <p>Telephone : +61-2-8784 8555</p> <p>Facsimile : +61-2-8784 8500</p> <p>QC Level : NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement</p> <p>Date Samples Received : 04-JUN-2013</p> <p>Issue Date : 07-JUN-2013</p> <p>No. of samples received : 9</p> <p>No. of samples analysed : 9</p>
--	---

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

This Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report; Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) and Acceptance Limits
- Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits
- Matrix Spike (MS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits



NATA Accredited Laboratory 825

Accredited for compliance with
ISO/IEC 17025.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories indicated below. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

<i>Signatories</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Accreditation Category</i>
Hoa Nguyen	Senior Inorganic Chemist	Sydney Inorganics
Nanthini Coilparampil	Laboratory Manager - Inorganics	Sydney Inorganics
Raymond Commodor	Instrument Chemist	Sydney Inorganics



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

Key :
Anonymous = Refers to samples which are not specifically part of this work order but formed part of the QC process lot
CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.
LOR = Limit of reporting
RPD = Relative Percentage Difference
= Indicates failed QC



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

The quality control term Laboratory Duplicate refers to a randomly selected intralaboratory split. Laboratory duplicates provide information regarding method precision and sample heterogeneity. The permitted ranges for the Relative Percent Deviation (RPD) of Laboratory Duplicates are specified in ALS Method QWI-EN/38 and are dependent on the magnitude of results in comparison to the level of reporting: Result < 10 times LOR:- No Limit; Result between 10 and 20 times LOR:- 0% - 50%; Result > 20 times LOR:- 0% - 20%.

Sub-Matrix: **SOIL**

				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report					
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)	Recovery Limits (%)
EA002 : pH (Soils) (QC Lot: 2902759)									
EB1313187-001	Anonymous	EA002: pH Value	---	0.1	pH Unit	7.9	7.9	0.0	0% - 20%
ES1312625-001	S1/0023	EA002: pH Value	---	0.1	pH Unit	5.8	5.7	0.0	0% - 20%
EA010: Conductivity (QC Lot: 2902760)									
EB1313187-001	Anonymous	EA010: Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C	---	1	µS/cm	100	98	2.0	0% - 20%
ES1312625-008	S1/0018	EA010: Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C	---	1	µS/cm	325	301	7.7	0% - 20%
EA055: Moisture Content (QC Lot: 2901987)									
ES1312624-001	Anonymous	EA055-103: Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)	---	1.0	%	22.4	21.6	3.9	0% - 20%
ED040S: Soluble Major Anions (QC Lot: 2902762)									
ES1312625-002	S1/0012	ED040S: Sulfate as SO4 2-	14808-79-8	10	mg/kg	150	160	0.0	0% - 50%



Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report

The quality control term Method / Laboratory Blank refers to an analyte free matrix to which all reagents are added in the same volumes or proportions as used in standard sample preparation. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential laboratory contamination. The quality control term Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) refers to a certified reference material, or a known interference free matrix spiked with target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor method precision and accuracy independent of sample matrix. Dynamic Recovery Limits are based on statistical evaluation of processed LCS.

Sub-Matrix: **SOIL**

				Method Blank (MB) Report	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report			
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%) LCS	Recovery Limits (%) Low High	
EA010: Conductivity (QCLot: 2902760)								
EA010: Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C	---	1	µS/cm	<1	1412 µS/cm	108	70	130
ED040S: Soluble Major Anions (QCLot: 2902762)								
ED040S: Sulfate as SO4 2-	14808-79-8	10	mg/kg	<10	750 mg/kg	98.0	85	109

Matrix Spike (MS) Report

The quality control term Matrix Spike (MS) refers to an intralaboratory split sample spiked with a representative set of target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential matrix effects on analyte recoveries. Static Recovery Limits as per laboratory Data Quality Objectives (DQOs). Ideal recovery ranges stated may be waived in the event of sample matrix interference.

- **No Matrix Spike (MS) Results are required to be reported.**

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

The quality control term Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) refers to intralaboratory split samples spiked with a representative set of target analytes. The purpose of these QC parameters are to monitor potential matrix effects on analyte recoveries. Static Recovery Limits as per laboratory Data Quality Objectives (DQOs). Ideal recovery ranges stated may be waived in the event of sample matrix interference.

- **No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.**

INTERPRETIVE QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

Work Order	: ES1312625	Page	: 1 of 5
Client	: SMEC TESTING SERVICES PTY LTD	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Sydney
Contact	: ALL REPORTS	Contact	: Client Services
Address	: P O BOX 6989 WETHERILL PARK NSW, AUSTRALIA 2164	Address	: 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
E-mail	: enquiries@smectesting.com.au	E-mail	: sydney@alsglobal.com
Telephone	: ----	Telephone	: +61-2-8784 8555
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +61-2-8784 8500
Project	: 19161 3087C	QC Level	: NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Site	: ----	Date Samples Received	: 04-JUN-2013
C-O-C number	: 156986	Issue Date	: 07-JUN-2013
Sampler	: MB	No. of samples received	: 9
Order number	: 10209	No. of samples analysed	: 9
Quote number	: EN/025/12		

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

This Interpretive Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Analysis Holding Time Compliance
- Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance
- Brief Method Summaries
- Summary of Outliers



Analysis Holding Time Compliance

The following report summarises extraction / preparation and analysis times and compares with recommended holding times. Dates reported represent first date of extraction or analysis and precludes subsequent dilutions and reruns. Information is also provided re the sample container (preservative) from which the analysis aliquot was taken. Elapsed period to analysis represents number of days from sampling where no extraction / digestion is involved or period from extraction / digestion where this is present. For composite samples, sampling date is assumed to be that of the oldest sample contributing to the composite. Sample date for laboratory produced leachates is assumed as the completion date of the leaching process. Outliers for holding time are based on USEPA SW 846, APHA, AS and NEPM (1999). A listing of breaches is provided in the Summary of Outliers.

Holding times for leachate methods (excluding elutriates) vary according to the analytes being determined on the resulting solution. For non-volatile analytes, the holding time compliance assessment compares the leach date with the shortest analyte holding time for the equivalent soil method. These soil holding times are: Organics (14 days); Mercury (28 days) & other metals (180 days). A recorded breach therefore does not guarantee a breach for all non-volatile parameters.

Matrix: SOIL

Evaluation: * = Holding time breach ; ✓ = Within holding time.

Method Container / Client Sample ID(s)	Sample Date	Extraction / Preparation			Analysis			
		Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation	
EA002 : pH (Soils)								
Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved (EA002)								
S1/0023, S1/0013, S1/0015, S1/0017, S1/0019	S1/0012, S1/0014, S1/0016, S1/0018,	04-JUN-2013	05-JUN-2013	11-JUN-2013	✓	05-JUN-2013	06-JUN-2013	✓
EA010: Conductivity								
Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved (EA010)								
S1/0023, S1/0013, S1/0015, S1/0017, S1/0019	S1/0012, S1/0014, S1/0016, S1/0018,	04-JUN-2013	05-JUN-2013	11-JUN-2013	✓	05-JUN-2013	03-JUL-2013	✓
EA055: Moisture Content								
Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved (EA055-103)								
S1/0023, S1/0013, S1/0015, S1/0017, S1/0019	S1/0012, S1/0014, S1/0016, S1/0018,	04-JUN-2013	----	----	----	05-JUN-2013	18-JUN-2013	✓
ED040S : Soluble Sulfate by ICPAES								
Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved (ED040S)								
S1/0023, S1/0013, S1/0015, S1/0017, S1/0019	S1/0012, S1/0014, S1/0016, S1/0018,	04-JUN-2013	05-JUN-2013	11-JUN-2013	✓	06-JUN-2013	03-JUL-2013	✓



Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance

The following report summarises the frequency of laboratory QC samples analysed within the analytical lot(s) in which the submitted sample(s) was(when) processed. Actual rate should be greater than or equal to the expected rate. A listing of breaches is provided in the Summary of Outliers.

Matrix: **SOIL**

Evaluation: ✘ = Quality Control frequency not within specification ; ✔ = Quality Control frequency within specification.

Quality Control Sample Type	Method	Count		Rate (%)			Quality Control Specification
		QC	Reaular	Actual	Expected	Evaluation	
Analytical Methods							
Laboratory Duplicates (DUP)							
Electrical Conductivity (1:5)	EA010	2	12	16.7	10.0	✔	NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Major Anions - Soluble	ED040S	1	11	9.1	10.0	✘	NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Moisture Content	EA055-103	1	13	7.7	10.0	✘	NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
pH (1:5)	EA002	2	19	10.5	10.0	✔	NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)							
Electrical Conductivity (1:5)	EA010	1	12	8.3	5.0	✔	NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Major Anions - Soluble	ED040S	1	11	9.1	5.0	✔	NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Method Blanks (MB)							
Electrical Conductivity (1:5)	EA010	1	12	8.3	5.0	✔	NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Major Anions - Soluble	ED040S	1	11	9.1	5.0	✔	NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement



Brief Method Summaries

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the US EPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request. The following report provides brief descriptions of the analytical procedures employed for results reported in the Certificate of Analysis. Sources from which ALS methods have been developed are provided within the Method Descriptions.

<i>Analytical Methods</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Matrix</i>	<i>Method Descriptions</i>
pH (1:5)	EA002	SOIL	(APHA 21st ed., 4500H+) pH is determined on soil samples after a 1:5 soil/water leach. This method is compliant with NEPM (1999) Schedule B(3) (Method 103)
Electrical Conductivity (1:5)	EA010	SOIL	(APHA 21st ed., 2510) Conductivity is determined on soil samples using a 1:5 soil/water leach. This method is compliant with NEPM (1999) Schedule B(3) (Method 104)
Moisture Content	EA055-103	SOIL	A gravimetric procedure based on weight loss over a 12 hour drying period at 103-105 degrees C. This method is compliant with NEPM (2010 Draft) Schedule B(3) Section 7.1 and Table 1 (14 day holding time).
Major Anions - Soluble	ED040S	SOIL	In-house. Soluble Anions are determined off a 1:5 soil / water extract by ICPAES.
<i>Preparation Methods</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Matrix</i>	<i>Method Descriptions</i>
1:5 solid / water leach for soluble analytes	EN34	SOIL	10 g of soil is mixed with 50 mL of distilled water and tumbled end over end for 1 hour. Water soluble salts are leached from the soil by the continuous suspension. Samples are settled and the water filtered off for analysis.



Summary of Outliers

Outliers : Quality Control Samples

The following report highlights outliers flagged in the Quality Control (QC) Report. Surrogate recovery limits are static and based on USEPA SW846 or ALS-QWI/EN/38 (in the absence of specific USEPA limits). This report displays QC Outliers (breaches) only.

Duplicates, Method Blanks, Laboratory Control Samples and Matrix Spikes

- For all matrices, no Method Blank value outliers occur.
- For all matrices, no Duplicate outliers occur.
- For all matrices, no Laboratory Control outliers occur.
- For all matrices, no Matrix Spike outliers occur.

Regular Sample Surrogates

- For all regular sample matrices, no surrogate recovery outliers occur.

Outliers : Analysis Holding Time Compliance

This report displays Holding Time breaches only. Only the respective Extraction / Preparation and/or Analysis component is/are displayed.

- No Analysis Holding Time Outliers exist.

Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples

The following report highlights breaches in the Frequency of Quality Control Samples.

Matrix: **SOIL**

Quality Control Sample Type Method	Count		Rate (%)		Quality Control Specification
	QC	Regular	Actual	Expected	
Laboratory Duplicates (DUP)					
Major Anions - Soluble	1	11	9.1	10.0	NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Moisture Content	1	13	7.7	10.0	NEPM 1999 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement

CLIENT: **SMEC TESTING** TURNAROUND REQUIREMENTS: Standard TAT (List due date): **10/6/13**
 Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date):
 OFFICE: **WETH - PIC** (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g. Ultra Trace Organics)
 PROJECT: **19161/3087C** ALS QUOTE NO.:
 PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER: **10209** COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle):
 PROJECT MANAGER: CONTACT PH: **9756 2166** OF: **1** 2 3 4 5 6 7

FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY (Circle)
 Custody Seal Intact? Yes No N/A
 Free ice / frozen ice bricks present upon receipt? Yes No N/A
 Random Sample Temperature on Receipt: °C
 Other comment: **10-2**

SAMPLER: **M-BLOWN** SAMPLER MOBILE: **0400 257 358** RELINQUISHED BY: **ALS** RECEIVED BY: **Soyg Stephen AS**
 COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO) EDD FORMAT (or default): DATE/TIME: **4-6-13** DATE/TIME: **4/6/13 1515**
 Email Reports to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): **enquiries** DATE/TIME:
 Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): **enquiries** DATE/TIME:

COMMENTS/ SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

ALS USE	SAMPLE DETAILS MATRIX - SOLID (S), WATER (W)		CONTAINER INFORMATION	ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required).						Additional Information
LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	DATE/ TIME	MATRIX	TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below)	TOTAL CONTAINERS	EC	PH	SO4		Comments on likely contaminant levels, dilutions, or samples requiring specific QC analysis etc.
1	S1 / 0023	4-6-13		JAR	1	/	/	/		
2	S1 / 0012				1	/	/	/		
3	S1 / 0013				1	/	/	/		
4	S1 / 0014				1	/	/	/		
5	S1 / 0015				1	/	/	/		
6	S1 / 0016				1	/	/	/		
7	S1 / 0017				1	/	/	/		
8	S1 / 0018				1	/	/	/		
9	S1 / 0019				1	/	/	/		
TOTAL										

Environmental Division
 Sydney
 Work Order
ES1312625



Telephone : +61-2-8784 8555

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP - Airfreight Unpreserved Plastic; V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airfreight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass; Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag.

156986

E1. CLASSIFICATION OF SOILS

E1.1 Soil Classification and the Unified System

An assessment of the site conditions usually includes an appraisal of the data available by combining values of engineering properties obtained by the site investigation with descriptions, from visual observation of the materials present on site.

The system used by SMEC in the identification of soil is the Unified Soil Classification system (USC) which was developed by the US Army Corps of Engineers during World War II and has since gained international acceptance and has been adopted in its metricated form by the Standards Association of Australia.

The Australian Site Investigation Code (AS1726-1981, Appendix D) recommends that the description of a soil includes the USC group symbols which are an integral component of the system.

The soil description should contain the following information in order:

Soil composition

- SOIL NAME and USC classification symbol (IN BLOCK LETTERS)
- plasticity or particle characteristics
- colour
- secondary and minor constituents (name estimated proportion, plasticity or particle characteristics, colour)

Soil condition

- moisture condition
- consistency or density index

Soil structure

- structure (zoning, defects, cementing)

Soil origin

interpretation based on observation eg FILL, TOPSOIL, RESIDUAL, ALLUVIUM.

E1.2 Soil Composition

(a) Soil Name and Classification Symbol

The USC system is summarized in Figure E1.2.1. The primary division separates soil types on the basis of particle size into:

- Coarse grained soils - more than 50% of the material less than 60 mm is larger than 0.06 mm (60 µm).
- Fine grained soils - more than 50% of the material less than 60 mm is smaller than 0.06 mm (60 µm).

Initial classification is by particle size as shown in Table E1.2.1. Further classification of fine grained soils is based on plasticity.

TABLE E1.2.1 - CLASSIFICATION BY PARTICLE SIZE

NAME	SUB-DIVISION	SIZE
Clay (1)		< 2 µm
Silt (2)		2 µm to 60 µm
Sand	Fine Medium Coarse	60 µm to 200 µm 200 µm to 600 µm 600 µm to 2 mm
Gravel (3)	Fine Medium Coarse	2 mm to 6 mm 6 mm to 20 mm 20 mm to 60 mm
Cobbles (3)		60 mm to 200 mm
Boulders (3)		> 200 mm

Where a soil contains an appropriate amount of secondary material, the name includes each of the secondary components (greater than 12%) in increasing order of significance, eg sandy silty clay.

Minor components of a soil are included in the description by means of the terms "some" and "trace" as defined in Table E1.2.2.

TABLE E1.2.2 - MINOR SOIL COMPONENTS

TERM	DESCRIPTION	APPROXIMATE PROPORTION (%)
Trace	presence just detectable, little or no influence on soil properties	0-5
Some	presence easily detectable, little influence on soil properties	5-12

The USC group symbols should be included with each soil description as shown in Table E1.2.3

TABLE E1.2.3 - SOIL GROUP SYMBOLS

SOIL TYPE	PREFIX
Gravel	G
Sand	S
Silt	M
Clay	C
Organic	O
Peat	Pt

The group symbols are combined with qualifiers which indicate grading, plasticity or secondary components as shown on Table E1.2.4

TABLE E1.2.4 - SOIL GROUP QUALIFIERS

SUBGROUP	SUFFIX
Well graded	W
Poorly Graded	P
Silty	M
Clayey	C
Liquid Limit <50% - low to medium plasticity	L
Liquid Limit >50% - low to medium plasticity	H

(b) Grading

- “Well graded” Good representation of all particle sizes from the largest to the smallest.
- “Poorly graded” One or more intermediate sizes poorly represented
- “Gap graded” One or more intermediate sizes absent
- “Uniformly graded” Essentially single size material.

(c) Particle shape and texture

The shape and surface texture of the coarse grained particles should be described.

Angularity may be expressed as “rounded”, “sub-rounded”, “sub-angular” or “angular”.

Particle **form** can be “equidimensional”, “flat” or “elongate”.

Surface texture can be “glassy”, “smooth”, “rough”, “pitted” or “striated”.

(d) Colour

The colour of the soil should be described in the moist condition using simple terms such as:

- | | | | |
|-------|--------|--------|-------|
| Black | White | Grey | Red |
| Brown | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| Blue | | | |

These may be modified as necessary by “light” or “dark”. Borderline colours may be described as a combination of two colours, eg. red-brown.

For soils that contain more than one colour terms such as:

- Speckled Very small (<10 mm dia) patches
- Mottled Irregular
- Blotched Large irregular (>75 mm dia)
- Streaked Randomly oriented streaks

(e) Minor Components

Secondary and minor components should be individually described in a similar manner to the dominant component.

E1.3 Soil Condition

(a) Moisture

Soil moisture condition is described as “dry”, “moist” or “wet”.

The moisture categories are defined as:

- Dry (D) - Little or no moisture evident. Soils are running.
- Moist (M) - Darkened in colour with cool feel. Granular soil particles tend to adhere. No free water evident upon remoulding of cohesive soils.

In addition the moisture content of cohesive soils can be estimated in relation to their liquid or plastic limit.

(b) Consistency

Estimates of the consistency of a clay or silt soil may be made from manual examination, hand penetrometer test, SPT results or from laboratory tests to determine undrained shear or unconfined compressive strengths. The classification of consistency is defined in Table E1.3.1.

TABLE E1.3.1 - CONSISTENCY OF FINE-GRAINED SOILS

TERM	UNCONFINED STRENGTH (kPa)	FIELD IDENTIFICATION
Very Soft	<25	Easily penetrated by fist. Sample exudes between fingers when squeezed in the fist.
Soft	25 – 50	Easily moulded in fingers. Easily penetrated 50 mm by thumb.
Firm	50 – 100	Can be moulded by strong pressure in the fingers. Penetrated only with great effort.
Stiff	100 – 200	Cannot be moulded in fingers. Indented by thumb but penetrated only with great effort.
Very Stiff	200 – 400	Very tough. Difficult to cut with knife. Readily indented with thumb nail.
Hard	>400	Brittle, can just be scratched with thumb nail. Tends to break into fragments.

Unconfined compressive strength as derived by a hand penetrometer can be taken as approximately double the undrained shear strength ($q_u = 2 c_u$).

(c) Density Index

The insitu density index of granular soils can be assessed from the results of SPT or cone penetrometer tests. Density index should not be estimated visually.

TABLE E1.3.2 - DENSITY OF GRANULAR SOILS

TERM	SPT N VALUE	STATIC CONE VALUE q_c (MPa)	DENSITY INDEX (%)
Very Loose	0 - 3	0 - 2	0 - 15
Loose	3 - 8	2 - 5	15 - 35
Medium Dense	8 - 25	5 - 15	35 - 65
Dense	25 - 42	15 - 20	65 - 85
Very Dense	>42	>20	>85

E1.4 Soil Structure

(a) Zoning

A sample may consist of several zones differing in colour, grain size or other properties. Terms to classify these zones are:

- Layer - continuous across exposure or sample
 - Lens - discontinuous with lenticular shape
 - Pocket - irregular inclusion
- Each zone should be described, their distinguishing features, and the nature of the interzone boundaries.

(b) Defects

Defects which are present in the sample can include:

- fissures
- roots (containing organic matter)
- tubes (hollow)
- casts (infilled)

Defects should be described giving details of dimensions and frequency. Fissure orientation, planarity, surface condition and infilling should be noted. If there is a tendency to break into blocks, block dimensions should be recorded

E1.5 Soil Origin

Information which may be interpretative but which may contribute to the usefulness of the material description should be included. The most common interpreted feature is the origin of the soil. The assessment of the probable origin is based on the soil material description, soil structure and its relationship to other soil and rock materials.

Common terms used are:

“Residual Soil” - Material which appears to have been derived by weathering from the underlying rock. There is no evidence of transport.

“Colluvium” - Material which appears to have been transported from its original location. The method of movement is usually the combination of gravity and erosion.

“Landslide Debris” - An extreme form of colluvium where the soil has been transported by mass movement. The material is obviously distributed and contains distinct defects related to the slope failure.

“Alluvium” - Material which has been transported essentially by water. Usually associated with former stream activity.

“Fill” - Material which has been transported and placed by man. This can range from natural soils which have been placed in a controlled manner in engineering construction to dumped waste material. A description of the constituents should include an assessment of the method of placement.

E1.6 Fine Grained Soils

The physical properties of fine grained soils are dominated by silts and clays.

The definition of clay and silt soils is governed by their Atterberg Limits. Clay soils are characterised by the properties of cohesion and plasticity with cohesion defines as the ability to deform without rupture. Silts exhibit cohesion but have low plasticity or are non-plastic.

The field characteristics of clay soils include:

- dry lumps have appreciable dry strength and cannot be powdered
- volume changes occur with moisture content variation
- feels smooth when moist with a greasy appearance when cut.

The field characteristics of silt soils include:

- dry lumps have negligible dry strength and can be powdered easily
- dilatancy - an increase in volume due to shearing - is indicated by the presence of a shiny film of water after a hand sample is shaken. The water disappears upon remoulding. Very fine grained sands may also exhibit dilatancy.
- low plasticity index
- feels gritty to the teeth

E1.7 Organic Soils

Organic soils are distinguished from other soils by their appreciable content of vegetable matter, usually derived from plant remains.

The soil usually has a distinctive smell and low bulk density.

The USC system uses the symbol Pt for partly decomposed organic material. The O symbol is combined with suffixes “O” or “H” depending on plasticity.

Where roots or root fibres are present their frequency and the depth to which they are encountered should be recorded. The presence of roots or root fibres does not necessarily mean the material is an “organic material” by classification.

Coal and lignite should be described as such and not simply as organic matter.