7. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

CHECKLIST FOR STORMWATER CONCEPT PLAN (SCP)

Survey Information		Yes	No	NA
1.	Site boundaries	×	Г	П
2.	North point	X		Γ
3.	Services within the public footway	×		
4.	Site features, including tree, structures, depressions	X	П	Г
5.	Contours at 0.1m for flat sites ranging to 0.5m for steep sites and extending 10m into adjoining properties	×	П	Г
6.	Top of kerb levels	X		
7.	Boundary levels	X	П	Г
8.	Benchmarks	X	П	П
9.	Levels to AHD where site is affected by overland flow, flooding or where works on Council's drainage network are required	×	Г	Г
General		Yes	No	NA
Gener	aı	162	NO	NA
1.	Plans to scale of 1:100 or 1:200	res K	П	I I
1.		-		2.525.5 P
1.	Plans to scale of 1:100 or 1:200	K	П	
1.	Plans to scale of 1:100 or 1:200 Designer's name, qualifications, contact details provided Design report, including details of any variations	X	l'a	П
1. 2. 3.	Plans to scale of 1:100 or 1:200 Designer's name, qualifications, contact details provided Design report, including details of any variations provided	x x		
1. 2. 3.	Plans to scale of 1:100 or 1:200 Designer's name, qualifications, contact details provided Design report, including details of any variations provided Plan number and date of issue shown Consistency between stormwater, architectural and landscape plans	K K		
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Plans to scale of 1:100 or 1:200 Designer's name, qualifications, contact details provided Design report, including details of any variations provided Plan number and date of issue shown Consistency between stormwater, architectural and landscape plans 1% AEP overland flow extents shown	K K		
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Plans to scale of 1:100 or 1:200 Designer's name, qualifications, contact details provided Design report, including details of any variations provided Plan number and date of issue shown Consistency between stormwater, architectural and landscape plans 1% AEP overland flow extents shown Development layout, building envelope and proposed	K K		

Penrith City Council Stormwater Drainage Specification for Building Developments Page 38 of 68

10. Compliance with freeboard requirements	X	П	Г
11. Location and level of proposed retaining walls indicated	R	П	Г
12. Appropriate tail water selected	X		П
13. No adverse impact on other properties or the stormwater network	IX.	П	П
 Mainstream flood / local overland flow flood report (if any) 			X
Drainage Layout	Yes	No	NA
Pipe size, grade and invert level indicated	×		Г
2. Pit location, size, invert level and surface level indicated	×		Г
Proposed connection point to Council's stormwater system	×		Г
OSD	Yes	No	NA
 A catchment plan showing areas draining to the OSD system. 	×		П
Location and size of OSD system and WSUD measures shown	X		Г
3. Location and level of OSD discharge points shown	×		Г
4. Compliance with detention volume required	X		Г
Compliance with less than 15% of site area bypassing OSD system	lx.	<u></u>	Г
 Compliance with the Permissible Site Discharge (PSD) requirements 	X		Г
7. Compliance with OSD storage depths	Ø	П	П
8. Overland flows clear from the OSD system	X	r	П
OSD storage located within common areas, clear of private courtyards and accessible from the street	K	Г	Г
10. Overflow weir provided and shown	×		Г
11. Details of discharge control pit shown	R	Г	Г
12. Orifice details and calculations shown	X	Г	Г
 Typical sections of OSD storage, including basin invert level, centreline level of outlet orifice, top water level, finished surface levels provided 	×	Г	Г
 Provision of design certification of the OSD system in accordance with this policy 	×	Г	Г

Others		Yes	No	NA
1.	Location of Council's drainage easements, private inter- allotment easements shown (if any)	Е		×
2.	Location and details of basement pump-out system provided (if any)	Г	Г	X
3.	Location and details of overland flow path shown (if any)	Г	Г	×