Geotechnical Desktop Study

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

159 JAMISON ROAD, PENRITH NSW

Prepared for:

ALPHA ENGINEERING & DEVELOPMENT PTY LTD

Reference: P1649_ 01

25 March 2019

Morrow Geotechnics Pty Ltd | ABN 42 605 892 126
PO Box 4069, Carlton NSW 2218
P: 0405 843 933 | E: info@morrowgeo.com.au

1 INTRODUCTION

Morrow Geotechnics Pty Ltd has undertaken a Geotechnical Desktop Study (GDS) for the proposed development at 159 Jamison Road, Penrith NSW (the site). This GDS report has been prepared to provide advice and recommendations to accompany a development application (DA) to Penrith Council for the proposed residential development.

1.1 Proposed Development

Architectural drawings of the proposed development have been prepared by Platform 5 Design for Job No 18-030 dated 18 March 2019. From the drawings provided, Morrow Geotechnics understands that the proposed development will involve the construction of a two storey boarding house over a single level basement car park. We expect that the basement excavation may extend to a depth of approximately 3 m below existing ground level (mBGL).

1.2 Purpose of the Desktop Study

The purpose of the GDS is to review available data and to provide geotechnical advice and recommendations addressing the following:

- Description of the anticipated surface and subsurface conditions at the site;
- Building and retaining wall foundation options, including preliminary design parameters;
- Approaches to limit potential impacts on adjacent structures, services, roads and tunnels;
- Construction constraints including groundwater management requirements, if necessary; and
- The requirement for additional geotechnical investigations.

1.3 Scope of Work

The scope of works for the GDS included:

- Review of available information from in-house sources;
- Review readily available plans, images and documents pertinent to the area;
- Review relevant soil landscape and geological maps for the project area;
- Review of any readily available aerial photographs;
- Review hydrogeological plans for the area; and
- Review DBYD plans and any plans provided by the client of existing buried services on site.

1.4 Investigation Constraints

The GDS is limited by the preliminary intent of the study and the fact that no intrusive investigations have been undertaken at this stage. The discussions and advice presented in this report are intended for the development of preliminary designs for the development. Further geotechnical investigations should be carried out after DA approval and site clearance to confirm both the geotechnical and groundwater model, and the preliminary design parameters provided in this report.

2 SITE DESCRIPTION

2.1 Site Description and Identification

The site identification details and associated information are presented in **Table 1.**

TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF SITE INFORMATION

Information	Detail
Local Government Authority	Penrith
Current Zoning	R3 – Medium Density Residential (Penrith Local Environmental Plan 2010)
Site Description	The site is rectangular in shape and comprises a single lot. The site is shown on maps.six.nsw.gov.au to be approximately 700 m^2.

2.2 Local Land Use

The site is situated within a residential area. Current uses on surrounding land are described in **Table 2**.

TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF LOCAL LAND USE

Direction Relative to Site	Land Use Description
North	Single storey weatherboard residential structures
East	Single storey weatherboard residential structures
South	Jamison Road followed by single storey weatherboard residential structures
West	Doonmore Street followed by single storey weatherboard residential structures.

2.3 Regional Setting

The site topography, geological and hydrogeological information for the locality is summarised in **Table 3.**

TABLE 3 TOPOGRAPHIC, GEOLOGICAL AND HYDROGEOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Attribute	Description
Topography	Regional topography slopes down towards the south west at approximately 2-3°.
Soil Landscapes	The Soil Conservation Service of NSW Penrith 1:100,000 Soil Landscapes Series Sheet 9030 (1st Edition) indicates that the erosional landscape at the site likely comprises the Luddenham Landscape. This landscape type typically includes undulating to rolling low hills on Wianamatta Group shales, often associated with Minchinbury Sandstone and slopes of 5 to 20 %. It generally comprises shallow (< 1.0 m) dark podzolic soils or massive earthy clays on crests and moderately deep (0.7 to 1.5 m) red podzolic soils on upper slopes. These soils are noted to present water erosion hazard, localised steep slopes, localised mass movement hazard, localised shallow soils, localised surface movement potential, localised impermeable highly plastic subsoil, and moderately reactive.
Regional Geology	The Department of Mineral Resources Geological Map Penrith 1:100,000 Geological Series Sheet 9030 (DMR 1991) indicates the site to be underlain by Bringelly Shale of the Wianamatta Group, typically comprises shale, carbonaceous claystone, claystone, laminite, fine to medium grained lithic sandstone, rare coal and tuff.
Salinity	In accordance with the Penrith Local Environmental Plan 2010 Section 7.6, salinity effects must be considered for the proposed development.
Groundwater	An online search was conducted using the NSW Office of Water (NOW) real-time database, which records relevant information pertaining to all licensed water bores for the state of New South Wales revealed five registered monitoring bores located within 750 m of the site. Only one registered monitoring well had a recorded standing water level on the NOW database, showing water recorded at 6 mBGL.

2.4 Expected Stratigraphy

Using the subsurface information from previous geotechnical investigations, published data and archived information, our proposed geotechnical units for the site have been developed to characterise the soil and rock strata and are presented in **Table 4** below.

TABLE 4 SUMMARY OF INFERRED SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Unit	Material	Material Description [1]
1	**Topsoil/Fill**	Generally clayey sand and silty sand. Fill thickness varies depending on site history and land usage, but is generally less than 1 m thick.
2	**Residual Soil**	Generally firm grading to very stiff, medium to high plasticity silty clay.
3a	**Class V Shale**	Depending on nature of site, bedrock typically grades from extremely weathered, extremely low strength to fresh, medium strength material. Bedrock is typically shale, sandstone, or an interbed of the two.
3b	**Class IV Shale**	
3c	**Class III Shale**	

Detailed descriptions of the material likely to be encountered along with the depth of each stratigraphic unit can only be provided following an intrusive geotechnical investigation comprising cored boreholes.

3 PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DESIGN

3.1 Foundation Options

Subgrade conditions at basement excavation levels are likely to be weathered shale or weathered sandstone. The proposed building loads may be supported by pad footings or bored piles founding on suitable bedrock. This will depend on specific load cases and specific load bearing locations, which can be optimised once intrusive investigations are undertaken.

Indicative preliminary foundation design parameters for the geotechnical units described above have been provided in **Table 5**. These parameters are provided as an indication of soil properties on properties in the immediate site vicinity only for the purpose of formation of concept designs at the site. Site specific parameters will vary from those provided below and intrusive investigations must be undertaken prior to detailed design.

TABLE 5 TYPICAL FOUNDATION PARAMETERS FOR FOUNDATIONS

	Ultimate End Bearing (kPa)[1]	Allowable End Bearing (kPa)[2]	Ultimate Shaft Adhesions (kPa)[3]
Unit 1 – Topsoil / Fill	Unsuitable for founding due to uncontrolled and variable nature of expected materials		
Unit 2 – Residual Soil	450	150	50
Unit 3 – Class V Shale	2100	700	100
Unit 4 – Class IV Shale	3000	1000	150
Unit 5 – Class III Shale	4500	1500	250

Notes:
[1] Ultimate values occur at large settlements (>5% of minimum footing dimensions).
[2] End bearing pressure to cause settlement of <1% of minimum footing dimensions
[3] Clean socket of roughness category R2 or better.

Morrow Geotechnics recommends that a Preliminary Geotechnical Strength Reduction Factor (GSRF) of 0.4 is used for the design of piles in accordance with AS 2159:2009 if no allowance is made for pile testing during construction. Should pile testing be nominated, the GSRF may be reviewed and a value of 0.55 to 0.65 may be expected.

Design of bored piles and shoring systems needs to consider the aggressivity of the ground and groundwater. Shallow footings and/or piles should found on soil of similar elastic modulus to limit the risk of differential settlement across the development footprint resulting from varying founding conditions.

3.2 Excavation Retention and Retaining Walls

The type of retention system chosen will be influenced by proximity to existing structures, services and pavement, the relative stiffness required to limit deformations to an acceptable level, and the inclusion of the wall as the permanent support for buildings.

Cantilevered retaining walls are typically the most economically viable retention method up to 5 m in height. Anchored walls may be more economically viable above 5 m height and will be required to limit lateral deflections where retention systems are within the zone of influence of nearby structures/services/pavements.

For preliminary design of temporary and permanent support we recommend the following:

- Rigid retaining structures, such as propped or anchored walls, should be adopted to limit lateral and vertical movements when in close proximity to existing buildings, pavements and buried services. A rectangular earth pressure distribution may be used with a maximum pressure of 6H or 8H (kPa), depending on the amount of movement that can be tolerated, where 'H' is the effective vertical height of the wall in metres.
- Based on publicly available groundwater data for the area, the proposed basement is not expected to intersect the groundwater table. Subsoil drainage should be provided behind retaining walls to prevent a build-up of water pressure from surface water infiltration.
- Appropriate surcharge loading from construction equipment and vehicular traffic at finished surface level should be adopted in retaining wall design. Any applicable surcharge loads should be added to earth pressures using a lateral earth pressure coefficient of 0.5. A bulk unit weight of 18 kN/m^3 can be assumed for fill and residual soils.

We recommend the use of stress/strain dependent analysis during detailed design to further consider likely deformations and to better model the earth pressures and influence of the excavation on adjacent structures, pavements and buried services.

Consideration will need to be given to monitoring lateral and vertical deflections of retained soil and to monitoring construction induced vibrations.

We recommend an allowance is made for a Geotechnical Engineer to inspect the excavation upon reaching a depth of 1.5 m, 3.0 m, 4.5 m and upon completing the bulk excavation to:

- Confirm inferred geotechnical conditions;
- Assess the suitability of design assumptions; and
- Provide further advice with regards to excavation retention and proposed construction methodologies, if required.

3.3 Site Preparation and Earthworks

All earthworks should be carried out in accordance with AS3798:2007, Guidelines on Earthworks for Commercial and Residential Developments. Earthworks compliance testing should be carried out in accordance with AS3798:2007, Table 8.1 with testing to be provided by a National Association of Testing Authority (NATA) accredited testing laboratory.

Working platforms for construction plant and crane pads, placed on in-situ materials or on new fill, should be designed by an experienced and qualified geotechnical engineer.

Should fill placement be proposed over existing ground levels, resulting in additional surcharge of in-situ soils, additional advice should be sought from an experienced and qualified geotechnical engineer regarding potential settlement of the in-situ soils.

4 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES

We recommend that further intrusive geotechnical investigations are carried out to determine:

- Boreholes to below excavation level to provide a ground model and geotechnical parameters for a stress/strain dependent analysis during detailed design of the development to further consider likely deformations and to better model the earth pressures and influence of the excavation on adjacent structures and services
- Observation of the groundwater levels within monitoring wells installed at the site. Borehole permeability testing may be undertaken to determine the hydraulic conductivity of the soil profile.
- Soil and groundwater samples should be collected and analysed for pH, chloride, sulphate content and electrical conductivity and compared against criteria in AS 2159-2009 Piling – Design and Installation to assess aggressivity of groundwater on concrete and steel structures.

Intrusive investigations can be used to assess the nature and sequence of the subsurface strata, including physical and mechanical properties for use in specifying geotechnical design parameters.

5 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

This Geotechnical Desktop Study is based on reviews of previous geotechnical reports, which included specific searches through relevant, historical databases and numerical data. It was assumed that the historical records were complete at the time of preparing each assessment report. This Geotechnical Desktop Study also relies upon data, measurements and/or results taken at, or under, the particular times and conditions specified in the corresponding report.

No warranties are made as to the information provided in this Geotechnical Desktop Study. All strategies, conclusions and recommendations made in this Geotechnical Desktop Study are the professional opinions of Morrow Geotechnics personnel involved with the project and while normal checking of the accuracy of information has been conducted, any circumstances outside the scope of this Geotechnical Desktop Study or which were not made known to Morrow Geotechnics personnel and which may impact on those opinions are not the responsibility of Morrow Geotechnics.

This report, its associated documentation and the information herein have been prepared solely for use with the project specified. No responsibility is accepted for the use of any part of this report in any other context or for any other purpose or by other third parties. Any ensuing liability resulting from use of the report by third parties cannot be transferred to Morrow Geotechnics. This Geotechnical Desktop Study does not purport to provide legal advice.

6 REFERENCES

AS1726:1993, *Geotechnical Site Investigations*, Standards Australia.

AS2159:2009, *Piling – Design and Installation*, Standards Australia.

AS2870:2011, *Residential Slabs and Footings*, Standards Australia.

AS3798:2007, *Guidelines on Earthworks for Commercial and Residential Developments*, Standards Australia.

Chapman, G.A. and Murphy, C.L. (1989), Soil Landscapes of the Penrith 1:100000 sheet. Soil Conservation Services of NSW, Sydney.

NSW Department of Finance and Service, Spatial Information Viewer, maps.six.nsw.gov.au.

NSW Department of Mineral Resources (1983) Penrith 1:100,000 Geological Series Sheet 9130 (Edition 1). Geological Survey of New South Wales, Department of Mineral Resources.

Pells (2004) Substance and Mass Properties for the Design of Engineering Structures in the Hawkesbury Sandstone, Australian Geomechanics Journal, Vol 39 No 3

7 CLOSURE

Please do not hesitate to contact Morrow Geotechnics if you have any questions about the contents of this report.

For and on behalf of Morrow Geotechnics Pty Ltd,



James Brooker
Geotechnical Engineer

Alan Morrow
Senior Geotechnical Engineer

Appendix A

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

morrow

Important Information

This Document has been provided by Morrow Geotechnics Pty Ltd subject to the following limitations:

This Document has been prepared for the particular purpose outlined in Morrow Geotechnics' proposal and no responsibility is accepted for the use of this Document, in whole or in part, in other contexts or for any other purpose.

The scope and the period of Morrow Geotechnics' Services are as described in Morrow Geotechnics' proposal, and are subject to restrictions and limitations. Morrow Geotechnics did not perform a complete assessment of all possible conditions or circumstances that may exist at the site referenced in the Document. The scope of services may have been limited by such factors as time, budget, site access or other site conditions. If a service is not expressly indicated, do not assume it has been provided. If a matter is not addressed, do not assume that any determination has been made by Morrow Geotechnics in regards to it. Any advice given within this document is limited to geotechnical considerations only. Other constraints particular to the project, including but not limited to architectural, environment, heritage and planning matters may apply and should be assessed independently of this advice.

Conditions may exist which were undetectable given the limited nature of the enquiry Morrow Geotechnics was retained to undertake with respect to the site. Variations in conditions may occur between investigatory locations, and there may be special conditions pertaining to the site which have not been revealed by the investigation and which have not therefore been taken into account in the Document. Accordingly, additional studies and actions may be required. No geotechnical investigation can provide a full understanding of all possible subsurface details and anomalies at a site.

In addition, it is recognised that the passage of time affects the information and assessment provided in this Document. Morrow Geotechnics' opinions are based upon information that existed at the time of the production of the Document. It is understood that the Services provided allowed Morrow Geotechnics to form no more than an opinion of the actual conditions of the site at the time the site was visited and cannot be used to assess the effect of any subsequent changes in the quality of the site, or its surroundings, or any laws or regulations.

Any assessments made in this Document are based on the conditions indicated from published sources and the investigation described. No warranty is included, either express or implied, that the actual conditions will conform exactly to the assessments contained in this Document.

Where data supplied by the client or other external sources, including previous site investigation data, have been used, it has been assumed that the information is correct unless otherwise stated. No responsibility is accepted by Morrow Geotechnics for incomplete or inaccurate data supplied by others.

Where ground conditions encountered at the site differ significantly from those anticipated in the report, either due to natural variability of subsurface conditions or construction activities, it is a condition of the report that Morrow Geotechnics be notified of any variations and be provided with an opportunity to review the recommendations of this report.

This Document is provided for sole use by the Client and is confidential to it and its professional advisers. No responsibility whatsoever for the contents of this Document will be accepted to any person other than the Client. Any use which a third party makes of this Document, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, is the responsibility of such third parties. Morrow Geotechnics accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this Document.