

**G**EOTECHNIQUE<sup>®</sup>  
PTY LTD

ABN 64 002 841 063



Job No: 14577/1  
Our Ref: 14577/1-AA  
8 January 2020

Presida Constructions Pty Ltd  
Suite 140, Level 4, 14 Lexington Drive  
BELLA VISTA NSW 2153  
Email: [jamesg@presida.com.au](mailto:jamesg@presida.com.au)

Attention: Mr J Grausam

Dear Sir

re: **Proposed Industrial Development**  
**Lot 14 in DP286568 - 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook**  
**Geotechnical Investigation**

This report provides the results of a geotechnical investigation at the subject site. The work was conducted as per our fee proposal Q8992 dated 19 November 2019 and was approved by Mr J Grausam of Presida Constructions in a signed confirmation of engagement dated 26 November 2019.

#### **Proposed Development**

We understand that the proposed development includes construction of 28 industrial units with mezzanine level for some units. Construction of rigid concrete pavements for internal access roads with parking spaces is required as part of the development. Site preparation for the proposed development may involve minor cut and fill.

In this regard, a geotechnical investigation was required to assess subsurface conditions across the site in order to provide geotechnical recommendations on the design of pavement, floor slabs and footings. Recommendations on subgrade preparation and specification for engineered fill were also required.

#### **Regional Geology**

The Geological Map of Penrith (Geological Series Sheet 9030, Scale 1:100,000, Edition 1, 1991), published by the Department of Minerals and Energy indicates that the site is underlain by Quaternary deposits comprising gravel, sand, silt and clay.

The Soil Landscape Map of Penrith (soil Landscape Series Sheet 9030, Scale 1:100,000, 1989), prepared by the Soil Conservation Service of NSW, indicates that the site is located within the Richmond landscape area and typically consists of clays, clay loams, sands and ironstone nodules.

Lemko Place, Penrith NSW 2750 PO Box 880, Penrith NSW 2751  
Telephone (02) 4722 2700  
E-mail: [info@geotech.com.au](mailto:info@geotech.com.au) [www.geotech.com.au](http://www.geotech.com.au)

14577/1-AA  
Lot 14 in DP286568 - 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook

## Field Work

Field work for the investigation was conducted on 9 December 2019 and the following was completed:

- Obtain and review available geological and geotechnical information relevant to the site.
- Obtain and review services plans from “Dial Before You Dig” to identify locations of underground services within and in the vicinity of the site.
- Carry out a walk over survey to assess existing site conditions and establish preferred locations for test pits.
- Scan proposed test pit locations for underground services to ensure that services are not damaged during field work. We engaged a specialist services locator for this purpose.
- Excavate ten (10) test pits to depths ranging from 1.5m to 2.5m, using a 5t excavator equipped with an auger. Engineering test pit logs and explanatory notes are attached to the report. Test pit locations are shown on the attached Drawing No 14577/1-AA1.
- Carry out Dynamic Cone Penetration (DCP) tests at selected test pit locations to assess the strength characteristics of sub-surface soils.
- Recovery of the representative soil samples for visual assessment and laboratory testing.
- Measure depths to groundwater level or seepage in the test pits, where encountered.

Field work was supervised by a Geotechnical Engineer from this company who was responsible for walk over survey, nominating test pit locations, supervising field work, sampling and preparation of field logs.

## Site Description

The site is of irregular shape and is bounded by Andrews Road to the south, Lakeview Drive to the north, and a small creek to the west. The site was covered with long grass and was devoid of trees. Topography of the site is generally flat with a gentle slope towards the west.

## Subsurface Conditions

Sub-surface conditions encountered in the test pits are detailed in the attached logs, and summarised below in Table 1.

Table 1 – Sub-surface Conditions

Test Pit	Surface RL (m, AHD)	Termination Depth (m)	Fill (m)	Natural Soil (m)
TP1	27.5	2.5	0.0 → 2.5	NE
TP2	27.4	2.5	0.0 → 2.5	NE
TP3	26.5	2.5	0.0 → 2.5	NE
TP4	26.7	2.5	0.0 → 2.5	NE
TP5	26.3	1.5	0.0 → 1.5	NE
TP6	26.3	2.0	0.0 → 2.0	NE
TP7	26.2	2.5	0.0 → 2.5	NE
TP8	26.0	2.5	0.0 → 2.5	NE
TP9	25.6	2.5	0.0 → 2.5	NE
TP10	25.6	2.5	0.0 → 2.5	NE

Note : RL and depths measured from existing ground surface are approximate only

14577/1-AA  
Lot 14 in DP286568 - 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook

Subsurface materials are described as follows:

<b>Fill</b>	Silty Clay, low to medium plasticity, with shale fragments, gravels and occasional cobbles/boulders Silty Sand, fine to coarse grained, with gravels Silty Clayey Sand, fine to medium grained, with gravels
-------------	--

The ten test pits excavated at the site encountered fill comprising silty clay, silty sand and silty clayey sand to the terminated depths. No non-soil or deleterious materials were found in the fill. Grass roots were encountered at the ground surface.

DCP tests conducted at selected test pit locations indicated the fill to be well compacted.

### Groundwater Conditions

Groundwater was not encountered to the terminated depths of the test pits. It should be noted that levels of groundwater generally vary due to changes in temperature, rain and other factors not evident during drilling.

### Laboratory Testing

#### California Bearing Ratio

Three (3) subgrade samples were collected from the test pits to conduct 4-day soaked California Bearing Ratio (CBR) tests. The tests were conducted on samples compacted to 100% standard dry density at moisture content close to optimum moisture content, in the NATA accredited laboratory of Geotech Testing Pty Ltd. The test results are summarised below and detailed in the attached certificate.

Table 2 – CBR Test Results

Test Pit	Sample Depth (m)	Soil Description	MDD (t/m <sup>3</sup> )	OMC (%)	FMC (%)	Variation From OMC (%)	CBR (%)
TP1	0.2 – 0.8	Fill : Silty Clayey Sand, fines to low plasticity, red-brown, some fine to medium gravel	2.07	9.4	7.1	2.3% Dry	20
TP3	0.6 – 0.9	Fill : Silty Clayey Sand, fines to low plasticity, red-brown, some fine to medium gravel	1.94	11.7	5.5	6.2% Dry	5
TP6	0.3 – 0.6	Fill : Silty Clayey Sand, fines to low plasticity, red-brown, some fine to medium gravel	2.00	10.6	7.6	3% Dry	10

MDD: Maximum Dry Density, FMC: Field Moisture Content, OMC: Optimum Moisture Content, CBR: California Bearing Ratio

The above results indicate that the field moisture content is generally dry of OMC. Moisture conditioning may be required during pavement construction to bring the field moisture close to OMC.

### Atterberg Limits

The samples were also tested to determine Atterberg limits in order to assess soil plasticity. The results are summarised below and detailed in the attached certificate.

14577/1-AA  
Lot 14 in DP286568 - 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook

Table 3 – Atterberg Limits Tests Results

Test Pit	Sample Depth (m)	Soil Description	W <sub>L</sub> (%)	W <sub>P</sub> (%)	I <sub>P</sub> (%)	LS (%)
TP1	0.2 – 0.8	Fill : Silty Clayey Sand, fines to low plasticity, red-brown, some fine to medium gravel	22	12	10	4.5
TP3	0.6 – 0.9	Fill : Silty Clayey Sand, fines to low plasticity, red-brown, some fine to medium gravel	28	14	14	8.5
TP6	0.3 – 0.6	Fill : Silty Clayey Sand, fines to low plasticity, red-brown, some fine to medium gravel	23	13	10	4.0

W<sub>L</sub> : Liquid Limit; W<sub>P</sub> : Plastic Limit; I<sub>P</sub> : Plasticity Index; LS : Linear Shrinkage

The above results generally indicate that the fines are of low plasticity.

### Chemical Tests

Samples recovered from the test pits excavated at the site were tested to determine pH and Electrical Conductivity (EC), in order to assess soil aggressivity and salinity. The results are summarised below and detailed in the attached certificates.

Table 4 – Chemical Tests Results

Test Pit	Sample Depth (m)	pH	EC (μS/cm)
TP1	0.5-0.7	8.3	200
TP1	1.0-1.2	7.7	280
TP2	0.2-0.5	7.0	310
TP2	1.0-1.3	7.8	260
TP3	0.6-0.8	7.6	180
TP3	1.5-1.7	6.9	220
TP4	0.5-0.7	7.8	350
TP4	1.3-1.5	4.8	380
TP5	0.3-0.5	8.3	280
TP5	1.0-1.2	7.9	190
TP6	0.3-0.6	8.3	340
TP6	1.0-1.3	8.0	570
TP7	0.5-0.7	8.4	310
TP7	1.5-1.7	7.6	240
TP8	0.5-0.7	6.8	300
TP8	1.5-1.7	7.6	640
TP9	0.2-0.5	8.3	280
TP9	1.0-1.2	5.9	260
TP9	1.8-2.0	5.5	500
TP10	0.5-0.7	7.7	250
TP10	1.0-1.2	7.7	240
TP10	1.5-1.7	7.0	410

14577/1-AA  
Lot 14 in DP286568 - 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook

## **DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **Nature of Fill**

Based on visual inspection of the material recovered from the test pits and the DCP test results, the fill encountered at the site was assessed as well compacted.

### **Excavation Conditions**

We understand that the site will be developed by minor cut and fill operations. The ten test pits excavated at the site encountered fill comprising silty clay, silty sand and silty clayey sand to the terminated depths. It is expected that these materials could be easily removed using conventional earthmoving equipment such as excavators and dozers.

Selection of excavation equipment should be based on site access, strength of sub-surface materials and the likely impact of vibration to structures in the vicinity of the excavation. We anticipate that existing structures in the vicinity of the site can tolerate ground vibration of more than 10.0mm/s. This will have to be ascertained by a Structural Engineer after a dilapidation survey, if deemed necessary.

Groundwater/seepage was not encountered in any of the borehole locations. We do not anticipate significant groundwater inflow during excavation. Groundwater inflow during excavation, if any, could be adequately managed using a conventional pump and sump system. However, trafficability problems might arise locally during wet weather or if water is allowed to pond at the site. A layer of recycled gravel can be used to provide a good working platform.

### **Batter Slopes**

Cut and fill slopes should be battered as recommended below.

Temporary condition	:	1V:1H
Permanent condition	:	1V:2.5H

The above batter slopes are recommended, providing:

- Cut and fill slopes are at sufficient distance from structures in the vicinity of the site.
- Adequate surface and sub-surface drainage is provided.
- Appropriate erosion protection in the form of vegetation is provided.

### **Retaining Structures**

If battered slopes steeper than those recommended above are required then excavation faces would need to be retained by engineered retaining structures. Appropriate retaining structures for the proposed development would include gravity wall etc.

Retaining structures can be designed for the following recommended earth pressure parameters:

Coefficient of active pressure,  $K_a$  : 0.3  
Coefficient of passive pressure,  $K_p$  : 3.0  
Soil Unit weight,  $\gamma$  : 19 kN/m<sup>3</sup>

14577/1-AA  
Lot 14 in DP286568 - 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook

### Footings

We expect that the proposed structures will be supported either on shallow footings (pad or strip) or deep footings (bored piers). Shallow footings founded on well compacted fill at or below 0.5m depth can be designed for an allowable end bearing pressure of 125kPa. Deep footings founded at least 2.5m below the ground level on well compacted or natural soils (stiff/dense) can be designed for an allowable end bearing pressure of 450kPa and shaft adhesion of 15kPa.

It is important that all footings are inspected and tested as deemed necessary by an experienced geotechnical engineer to ensure that footings are founded on appropriate material to achieve the design bearing pressure values. Under no circumstances should footings be founded on soft/loose, wet or undesirable materials. If such materials are encountered then they should be removed to firm material and replaced with controlled fill or mass concrete.

### Floor Slabs

Floor slabs for the proposed structures could either be supported on ground or suspended on footings. Ground floor supported slabs can be designed for a modulus of subgrade reaction of 20kPa/mm. Considering that floor slabs may be subjected to traffic loading (fork lifts etc.) it is recommended that 150mm of granular sub-base is provided.

### Pavement Design

We understand that rigid concrete pavement will be constructed for the proposed internal access road and parking spaces.

Based on the test pits excavated at the site we expect that pavement subgrade will consist of fill material comprising silty clay, silty sand or silty clayey sand. CBR tests conducted on the samples recovered from the site showed CBR values of 5%, 10% and 20%. Considering that variations in subgrade conditions are likely to be encountered across the site we recommend that a design CBR of 5% is adopted for determining pavement thickness.

No information regarding the design traffic loading was available. For the purposes of pavement thickness design we have assumed a design traffic loading of  $3 \times 10^5$  Heavy Vehicle Axle Groups (HVAG).

The pavement design is based on the Austroads publication "Guide to Pavement Technology, Part 2: "Pavement Structural Design", (2010). Based on the above design CBR and traffic loading values, we recommend the following pavement composition.

Table 5 – Recommended Pavement

Road No / Name	Design Traffic Loading (HVAG)	Design CBR (%)	Concrete Base (m)	Sub-base (mm)	Total (mm)
Internal Access Road and Parking Spaces	$3 \times 10^5$	5	170	150	340

The following are assumed in the design of the above recommended pavement:

- Compressive strength of concrete base : 32MPa
- Sub-base material : Granular (crushed rock)
- Shrinkage reinforcement and dowels shall be provided as per structural engineers design.

14577/1-AA  
Lot 14 in DP286568 - 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook

The pavement depths are only valid if the subgrade and pavement materials are compacted to the following Minimum Dry Density Ratios (AS1289 5.4.1) as per Penrith City Council Specifications.

Sub-base	95% Modified
Subgrade	100% Standard

The pavement design assumes provision of adequate surface and sub-surface drainage of the pavement and adjacent areas. It is recommended that a sub-surface drainage system is installed, as directed by Council Engineers.

### **Subgrade Preparation and Placement of Controlled Fill**

We recommend the following procedures for subgrade preparation and placement of controlled fill:

- Strip existing topsoil (if present) and stockpile for possible future use in landscaping.
- In areas where grade raise fill will be provided the exposed material after removal of topsoil shall be proof rolled (using an 8 to 10 tonnes roller) to detect potentially weak spots (ground heave). Excavate areas of localised heaving to depth of about 300mm and replace with granular material or low plasticity clay and compact as recommended below.
- Repeat proof rolling of soft spots backfilled with granular material or low plasticity clay. If the backfilled area shows movement during proof rolling, this office should be contacted for further recommendations.
- Place suitable fill materials on proof rolled surface in horizontal layers of 250mm to 300mm loose thickness (depending on the size of equipment) and compact to achieve a minimum density ratio of at least 98% Standard, at moisture content within 2% of Optimum Moisture Content (OMC). Suitable fill materials may comprise granular or low plasticity clay. The top 300mm of the fill forming pavement subgrade shall be compacted to a minimum density ratio of at least 100% Standard, at moisture content within 2% of Optimum Moisture Content (OMC).
- In cut areas the top 300mm of the pavement subgrade shall be scarified and compacted to a minimum density ratio of at least 100% Standard, at moisture content within 2% of Optimum Moisture Content (OMC).
- Fill placement should be supervised to ensure that material quality, layer thickness, testing frequency and compaction criteria conform to the specifications. We recommend "Level 1" supervision, in accordance with AS3798-2007.

### **Salinity and Aggressivity Assessment**

#### **Salinity Assessment**

Electrical Conductivity (EC) testing was carried out to assess soil salinity. The Department of Land and Water Conservation (DLWC) publication (Reference 1) defines various classes of saline soils, as follows.

Classification	EC <sub>e</sub> (dS/m)
Non-saline	<2
Slightly saline	2 – 4
Moderately saline	4 – 8
Very saline	8 – 16
Highly saline	>16

14577/1-AA  
Lot 14 in DP286568 - 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook

Where  $EC_e$  is corrected electrical conductivity and is obtained by multiplying the laboratory obtained EC value with a multiplication factor (MF). This factor generally ranges from 6 to 17 and is based on the texture of the soil sample. For this investigation, multiplication factors of 8 and 10, considering that the soils at the site are generally silty clay, silty clayey sand and silty sand are used. Test results and salinity assessment are shown below in Table 6.

Table 6 – Salinity Assessment

Test Pit	Sample Depth (m)	EC ( $\mu$ S/cm)	MF	$EC_e$ (dS/m)	Assessment
TP1	0.5-0.7	200	10	2.0	Slightly saline
TP1	1.0-1.2	280	8	2.2	Slightly saline
TP2	0.2-0.5	310	8	2.5	Slightly saline
TP2	1.0-1.3	260	8	2.1	Slightly saline
TP3	0.6-0.8	180	10	1.8	Non-saline
TP3	1.5-1.7	220	10	2.2	Slightly saline
TP4	0.5-0.7	350	8	2.8	Slightly saline
TP4	1.3-1.5	380	8	3.0	Slightly saline
TP5	0.3-0.5	280	8	2.2	Slightly saline
TP5	1.0-1.2	190	8	1.5	Non-saline
TP6	0.3-0.6	340	10	3.4	Slightly saline
TP6	1.0-1.3	570	8	4.6	Moderately saline
TP7	0.5-0.7	310	8	2.5	Slightly saline
TP7	1.5-1.7	240	8	1.9	Non-saline
TP8	0.5-0.7	300	8	2.4	Slightly saline
TP8	1.5-1.7	640	8	5.1	Moderately saline
TP9	0.2-0.5	280	8	2.2	Slightly saline
TP9	1.0-1.2	260	8	2.1	Slightly saline
TP9	1.8-2.0	500	8	4.0	Moderately saline
TP10	0.5-0.7	250	8	2.0	Slightly saline
TP10	1.0-1.2	240	8	1.9	Non-saline
TP10	1.5-1.7	410	8	3.3	Slightly saline

Majority of the samples showed non to slightly saline conditions. A few samples indicated moderately saline conditions. Based on the above results the soils at the site are generally assessed as non to slightly saline.

#### Aggressivity Assessment

Based on the pH results (Table 4) and as per Australian Standard AS2159-2009 "Piling-Design & installation", soils at the site are classified as below:

Table 7 – Aggressivity Assessment

Test Pit	Sample Depth (m)	pH	Aggressivity Assessment
TP1	0.5-0.7	8.3	Non-aggressive
TP1	1.0-1.2	7.7	Non-aggressive
TP2	0.2-0.5	7.0	Non-aggressive
TP2	1.0-1.3	7.8	Non-aggressive
TP3	0.6-0.8	7.6	Non-aggressive
TP3	1.5-1.7	6.9	Non-aggressive

Presida Constructions Pty Ltd  
ZA.sf/08.01.2020



14577/1-AA  
Lot 14 in DP286568 - 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook

Test Pit	Sample Depth (m)	pH	Aggressivity Assessment
TP4	0.5-0.7	7.8	Non-aggressive
TP4	1.3-1.5	4.8	Mildly Aggressive
TP5	0.3-0.5	8.3	Non-aggressive
TP5	1.0-1.2	7.9	Non-aggressive
TP6	0.3-0.6	8.3	Non-aggressive
TP6	1.0-1.3	8.0	Non-aggressive
TP7	0.5-0.7	8.4	Non-aggressive
TP7	1.5-1.7	7.6	Non-aggressive
TP8	0.5-0.7	6.8	Non-aggressive
TP8	1.5-1.7	7.6	Non-aggressive
TP9	0.2-0.5	8.3	Non-aggressive
TP9	1.0-1.2	5.9	Non-aggressive
TP9	1.8-2.0	5.5	Non-aggressive
TP10	0.5-0.7	7.7	Non-aggressive
TP10	1.0-1.2	7.7	Non-aggressive
TP10	1.5-1.7	7.0	Non-aggressive

The above results indicate that the soils at the site are generally non-aggressive. Based on the results we recommend that the minimum concrete strength ( $f_c'$ ) shall be 32MPa and concrete cover shall be 45mm. Concrete cover can be reduced to 30mm where damp proofing is provided.

### General

As the recommendations presented in this report are based on information from 10 test pits and site observation; actual sub-surface conditions across the site might differ from those expected (interpreted). If such differences are encountered during construction, we recommend that this office is contacted for further advice. This can also occur with groundwater conditions, especially after climatic changes.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours faithfully  
GEOTECHNIQUE PTY LTD



ZIAUDDIN AHMED  
Senior Associate

Attached      Drawing No 14577/1-AA1 Test Pit Locations Plan  
Engineering Borehole Logs, Legends & Explanatory Notes  
Laboratory CBR & Atterberg Limits Tests Results  
Chemical Tests Results

### References

- 1) Lillicrap, A and McGhie, S., *Site Investigation for Urban Salinity*, Department of Land and Water Conservation, 2002.



# LEGEND

■ Test Pit



PO Box 880  
Penrith NSW 2750  
Tel: 02 4722 2700  
Fax: 02 4722 2777  
e-mail: info@geotech.com.au  
www.geotech.com.au

## NOTES

1. Site features are indicative and are not to scale.
2. This drawing has been produced using a base plan provided by others to which additional information e.g test pits, borehole locations or notes have been added. Some or all of the plan may not be relevant at the time of producing this drawing

Presida Constructions Pty Ltd  
Proposed Industrial Development  
Lot 14 in DP 286568  
7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook

## Test Pit Locations

Drawing No: 14577/1-AA1  
Job No: 14577/1  
Drawn By: MH  
Date: 10 December 2019  
Checked By: MT

File No: 14577-1  
Layers: 0, AA1

# engineering log - excavation

<b>Client :</b> Presida Constructions Pty Ltd		<b>Job No :</b> 14577/1	
<b>Project :</b> Proposed Industrial Development		<b>Pit No :</b> TP1	
<b>Location :</b> Lot 14 in DP286568, 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook		<b>Date :</b> 09/12/2019	
<b>Logged/Checked by:</b> MT			
<b>Equipment type and model:</b> Yanmar Excavator		<b>R.L. surface :</b> $\approx 27.5$	
<b>Excavation dimensions :</b> 3.0 m long 0.6 m wide		<b>datum :</b> AHD	

groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION soil type, plasticity or particle characteristic, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
Dry					0			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, with shale fragments, and grass roots				Well Compacted
					0.5			FILL: Silty Clayey Sand, fine to coarse grained, red brown, with gravels, fines of low plasticity				
					1			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, grey, with shale fragments, and gravels				
					1.5							
					2							
					2.5			Test Pit No 1 terminated at 2.5m				
					3							
					3.5							
					4							
					4.5							

# engineering log - excavation

<b>Client :</b> Presida Constructions Pty Ltd		<b>Job No :</b> 14577/1	
<b>Project :</b> Proposed Industrial Development		<b>Pit No :</b> TP2	
<b>Location :</b> Lot 14 in DP286568, 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook		<b>Date :</b> 09/12/2019	
<b>Logged/Checked by:</b> MT			
<b>Equipment type and model:</b> Yanmar Excavator		<b>R.L. surface :</b> $\approx 27.4$	
<b>Excavation dimensions :</b> 3.0 m long 0.6 m wide		<b>datum :</b> AHD	

groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION soil type, plasticity or particle characteristic, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
Dry				20 22 R	0			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, brown red, with cobbles and gravels				Well Compacted
			DS	0.5			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, brown, dark brown, with shale fragments					
			DS	1			FILL: Silty Clay, medium plasticity, brown					
				1.5								
					2							
					2.5			Test Pit No 2 terminated at 2.5m				
					3							
					3.5							
					4							
					4.5							

# engineering log - excavation

<b>Client :</b> Presida Constructions Pty Ltd		<b>Job No :</b> 14577/1	
<b>Project :</b> Proposed Industrial Development		<b>Pit No :</b> TP3	
<b>Location :</b> Lot 14 in DP286568, 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook		<b>Date :</b> 09/12/2019	
<b>Logged/Checked by:</b> MT			
<b>Equipment type and model:</b> Yanmar Excavator		<b>R.L. surface :</b> $\approx 26.5$	
<b>Excavation dimensions :</b> 3.0 m long 0.6 m wide		<b>datum :</b> AHD	

groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION soil type, plasticity or particle characteristic, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
Dry					0			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, grey, with shale fragments				Well Compacted
					0.5							
				DB/DS	1			FILL: Silty Clayey Sand, fine to medium grained, red-brown, with gravels and boulders, fines of low plasticity				
				DS	1.5							
					2			FILL: Silty Clayey Sand, fine to medium grained, yellow brown with gravels				
					2.5			Test Pit No 3 terminated at 2.5m				
					3							
					3.5							
					4							
					4.5							


# engineering log - excavation

<b>Client :</b> Presida Constructions Pty Ltd		<b>Job No :</b> 14577/1	
<b>Project :</b> Proposed Industrial Development		<b>Pit No :</b> TP4	
<b>Location :</b> Lot 14 in DP286568, 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook		<b>Date :</b> 09/12/2019	
<b>Logged/Checked by:</b> MT			
<b>Equipment type and model:</b> Yanmar Excavator		<b>R.L. surface :</b> $\approx 26.7$	
<b>Excavation dimensions :</b> 3.0 m long 0.6 m wide		<b>datum :</b> AHD	

groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION soil type, plasticity or particle characteristic, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
Dry					0			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, brown, with gravels/cobbles, and grass roots				Well Compacted
				DS	0.5							
					1			FILL: Silty Clay, low to medium plasticity, brown, yellow				
				DS	1.5							
					2							
					2.5			Test Pit No 4 terminated at 2.5m				
					3							
					3.5							
					4							
					4.5							

# engineering log - excavation

<b>Client :</b> Presida Constructions Pty Ltd		<b>Job No :</b> 14577/1	
<b>Project :</b> Proposed Industrial Development		<b>Pit No :</b> TP5	
<b>Location :</b> Lot 14 in DP286568, 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook		<b>Date :</b> 09/12/2019	
<b>Logged/Checked by:</b> MT			
<b>Equipment type and model:</b> Yanmar Excavator		<b>R.L. surface :</b> $\approx 26.3$	
<b>Excavation dimensions :</b> 3.0 m long 0.6 m wide		<b>datum :</b> AHD	

groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION soil type, plasticity or particle characteristic, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
Dry					0			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, brown, with grass roots, and shale fragments				Well Compacted
			DS		0.5			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, brown, with gravels and cobbles				
					1							
			DS		1.5			Test Pit No 5 terminated at 1.5m due to refusal on gravels/cobbles				
					2							
					2.5							
					3							
					3.5							
					4							
					4.5							

# engineering log - excavation

<b>Client :</b> Presida Constructions Pty Ltd		<b>Job No :</b> 14577/1	
<b>Project :</b> Proposed Industrial Development		<b>Pit No :</b> TP6	
<b>Location :</b> Lot 14 in DP286568, 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook		<b>Date :</b> 09/12/2019	
<b>Logged/Checked by:</b> MT			
<b>Equipment type and model:</b> Yanmar Excavator		<b>R.L. surface :</b> $\approx 26.3$	
<b>Excavation dimensions :</b> 3.0 m long 0.6 m wide		<b>datum :</b> AHD	

groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION soil type, plasticity or particle characteristic, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
Dry				NO	0			FILL : Silty Sand, fine to medium grained, red-brown, with gravels, fines of low plasticity				Well Compacted
				DB/DS	0.5			FILL : Silty Clayey Sand, fine to medium grained, red-brown, with gravels, fines of low plasticity				
				DS	1			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, brown, with gravels/cobbles				
					1.5							
					2			Test Pit No 6 terminated at 2.0 due to refusal on gravels/cobbles				
					2.5							
					3							
					3.5							
					4							
					4.5							




# engineering log - excavation

<b>Client :</b> Presida Constructions Pty Ltd		<b>Job No :</b> 14577/1	
<b>Project :</b> Proposed Industrial Development		<b>Pit No :</b> TP7	
<b>Location :</b> Lot 14 in DP286568, 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook		<b>Date :</b> 09/12/2019	
<b>Logged/Checked by:</b> MT			
<b>Equipment type and model:</b> Yanmar Excavator		<b>R.L. surface :</b> $\approx 26.2$	
<b>Excavation dimensions :</b> 3.0 m long 0.6 m wide		<b>datum :</b> AHD	

groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION soil type, plasticity or particle characteristic, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
Dry				7	0			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, brown, with shale fragments, gravels, and grass roots				Well Compacted
				8								
				12								
				11								
				11								
				0.5								
				7								
				12								
				22								
				20								
				21								
				1								
				R								
				1.5								
				2								
					2.5			Test Pit No 7 terminated at 2.5m				
					3							
					3.5							
					4							
					4.5							



# engineering log - excavation

<b>Client :</b>		Presida Constructions Pty Ltd				<b>Job No :</b>		14577/1				
<b>Project :</b>		Proposed Industrial Development				<b>Pit No :</b>		TP9				
<b>Location :</b>		Lot 14 in DP286568, 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook				<b>Date :</b>		09/12/2019				
						<b>Logged/Checked by:</b> MT						
<b>Equipment type and model:</b>						Yanmar Excavator			<b>R.L. surface :</b> $\approx 25.6$			
<b>Excavation dimensions :</b>						3.0 m long 0.6 m wide			<b>datum :</b> AHD			
groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION soil type, plasticity or particle characteristic, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
Dry					0			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, brown, with gravel, shale fragments, and grass roots				
			DS		0.5							
					1			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, brown, with ironstone gravels				
			DS		1.5			FILL: Silty Clay, medium plasticity, grey				
					2							
					2.5			Test Pit No 9 terminated at 2.5m				
					3							
					3.5							
					4							
					4.5							

# engineering log - excavation

<b>Client :</b> Presida Constructions Pty Ltd		<b>Job No :</b> 14577/1	
<b>Project :</b> Proposed Industrial Development		<b>Pit No :</b> TP10	
<b>Location :</b> Lot 14 in DP286568, 7 Renshaw Street, Cranebrook		<b>Date :</b> 09/12/2019	
<b>Logged/Checked by:</b> MT			
<b>Equipment type and model:</b> Yanmar Excavator		<b>R.L. surface :</b> $\approx 25.6$	
<b>Excavation dimensions :</b> 3.0 m long 0.6 m wide		<b>datum :</b> AHD	

groundwater	env samples	PID reading (ppm)	geo samples	field tests	depth or R.L. in meters	graphic log	classification symbol	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION soil type, plasticity or particle characteristic, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	hand penetrometer kPa	Remarks and additional observations
Dry					0			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, brown, with gravels, shale fragments, and grass roots				Well Compacted
				0.5								
			DS									
				1			FILL: Silty Clay, low plasticity, brown, with ironstone					
			DS									
				1.5			FILL: Silty Clay, medium plasticity, grey					
				2								
				2.5				Test Pit No 10 terminated at 2.5m				
				3								
				3.5								
				4								
				4.5								

# KEY TO SYMBOLS

Symbol    Description

Strata symbols





Fill

Notes:

1. Exploratory borings were drilled on 09/12/2019 using a 4-inch diameter continuous flight power auger.
2. No free water was encountered at the time of drilling or when re-checked the following day.
3. Boring locations were taped from existing features and elevations extrapolated from the final design schematic plan.
4. These logs are subject to the limitations, conclusions, and recommendations in this report.
5. Results of tests conducted on samples recovered are reported on the logs.

### Log Symbols & Abbreviations (Non-cored Borehole Log)



Log Column	Symbol/Value	Description
Drilling Method	V-bit TC-bit RR DB BB	Hardened steel 'V' shaped bit attached to auger Tungsten Carbide bit attached to auger Tricone (Rock Roller) bit Drag bit Blade bit
Groundwater	Dry  	Groundwater not encountered to the drilled or auger refusal depth Groundwater level at depths shown on log Groundwater seepage at depths shown on log
Environment Sample	GP G P	Glass bottle and plastic bag sample over depths shown on log Glass bottle sample over depths shown on log Plastic bag sample over depths shown on log
PID Reading	100	PID reading in ppm
Geotechnical Sample	DS DB U <sub>50</sub>	Disturbed Small bag sample over depths shown on log Disturbed Bulk sample over depths shown on log Undisturbed 50mm tube sample over depths shown on log
Field Test	N=10 3,5,5  N=R 10,15/100	Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. Individual numbers indicate blows per 150mm penetration.  'R' represents refusal to penetration in hard/very dense soils or in cobbles or boulders. The first number represents 10 blows for 150mm penetration whereas the second number represents 15 blows for 100mm penetration where SPT met refusal
	DCP/PSP	5 6 R/10
		Dynamic Cone Penetration (DCP) or Perth Sand Penetrometer (PSP). Each number represents blows per 100mm penetration. 'R/10' represents refusal after 10mm penetration in hard/very dense soils or in gravels or boulders.
Classification	GP GW GM GC SP SW SM SC ML MI MH CL CI CH	Poorly Graded GRAVEL Well graded GRAVEL Silty GRAVEL Clayey GRAVEL Poorly graded SAND Well graded SAND Silty SAND Clayey SAND SILT / Sandy SILT / clayey SILT, low plasticity SILT / Sandy SILT / clayey SILT, medium plasticity SILT / Sandy SILT / clayey SILT, high plasticity CLAY / Silty CLAY / Sandy CLAY / Gravelly CLAY, low plasticity CLAY / Silty CLAY / Sandy CLAY / Gravelly CLAY, medium plasticity CLAY / Silty CLAY / Sandy CLAY / Gravelly CLAY, high plasticity
Moisture Condition Cohesive soils	M<PL M=PL M>PL	Moisture content less than Plastic Limit Moisture content equal to Plastic Limit Moisture content to be greater than Plastic Limit
Cohesionless soils	D M W	Dry - Runs freely through hand Moist - Tends to cohere Wet - Tends to cohere
Consistency Cohesive soils	VS S F St VSt H	Term      Undrained shear strength, C <sub>u</sub> (kPa)      Hand Penetrometer (Qu) Very Soft      ≤12      <25 Soft      >12 ≤25      25 – 50 Firm      >25 ≤50      50 – 100 Stiff      >50 ≤100      100 – 200 Very Stiff      >100 ≤200      200 – 400 Hard      >200      >400
Density Index Cohesionless soils	VL L M D VD	Term      Density Index, I <sub>D</sub> (%)      SPT 'N' (blows/300mm) Very Loose      ≤15      ≤5 Loose      >15 ≤35      >5 ≤10 Medium Dense      >35 ≤65      >10 ≤30 Dense      >65 ≤85      >30 ≤50 Very Dense      >85      >50
Hand Penetrometer	100 200	Unconfined compressive strength (q <sub>u</sub> ) in kPa determined using pocket penetrometer, at depths shown on log
Remarks	Residual Alluvium Colluvial Aeolian Marine	Geological origin of soils Residual soils above bedrock River deposited Alluvial soils Gravity deposited Colluvial soils Wind deposited Aeolian soils Marine Soils

**AS1726 – Unified Soil Classification System**

Major Divisions		Particle size (mm)	Group Symbol	Typical Names	Field Identifications Sand and Gravels			Laboratory classification				
COARSE GRAINED SOILS (more than half of material less 63mm is larger than 0.075mm)	BOULDERS	200						% (2) < 0.075mm	Plasticity of Fine Fraction	$C_u = D_{60}/D_{10}$	$C_c = (D_{30})^2/(D_{10}D_{60})$	Notes
	COBBLES	63										
	GRAVELS (more than half of coarse fraction is larger than 2.36mm)	Coarse 20	GW	Well-graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	Wide range in grain size and substantial amounts of all intermediate sizes, not enough fines to bind coarse grains, no dry strength			0-5	-	>4	between 1 and 3	1. Identify lines by the method given for fine grained soils
		Medium 6	GP	Poorly graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines, uniform gravels	Predominantly one size or range of sizes with some intermediate sizes missing, not enough fines to bind coarse grains, no dry strength			0-5	-	Fails to comply with above		
			GM	Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures	'Dirty' materials with excess of non-plastic fines, zero to medium dry strength			12-50	Below 'A' line or $I_p<4$	-	-	2. Borderline classifications occur when the percentage of fines (fraction smaller than 0.075mm size) is greater than 5% and less than 12%. Borderline classifications require the use of dual symbols e.g. SP-SM, GW-GC
		Fine 2.36	GC	Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures	'Dirty' materials with excess of plastic fines, medium to high dry strength			12-50	Above 'A' line or $I_p>7$	-	-	
	SANDS (more than half of coarse fraction is smaller than 2.36mm)	Coarse 0.6	SW	Well-graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines	Wide range in grain size and substantial amounts of all intermediate sizes, not enough fines to bind coarse grains, no dry strength			0-5	-	>6	between 1 and 3	
		Medium 0.2	SP	Poorly graded sands and gravelly sands; little or no fines, uniform sands	Predominantly one size or range of sizes with some intermediate sizes missing, not enough fines to bind coarse grains, no dry strength			0-5	-	Fails to comply with above		
		Fine 0.075	SM	Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures	'Dirty' materials with excess of non-plastic fines, zero to medium dry strength			12-50	Below 'A' line or $I_p<4$	-	-	
			SC	Clayey sand, sand-clay mixtures	'Dirty' materials with excess of plastic fines, medium to high dry strength			12-50	Above 'A' line of $I_p>7$	-	-	
FINE GRAINED SOILS (more than half of material less than 63mm is smaller than 0.075mm)	SILTS & CLAYS (liquid limit < 50%)	ML	Inorganic silts and very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands or clayey silts with slight plasticity	Dry Strength	Dilatancy	Toughness	More than 50% passing 0.075mm	Below 'A' line				
		CL, CI	Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays	None to low	Quick to slow	None		Above 'A' line				
		OL	Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity	Medium to high	None to very slow	Medium		Below 'A' line				
	SILTS & CLAYS (liquid limit > 50%)	MH	Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sandy or silty soils, elastic silts	Low to medium	Slow	Low		Below 'A' line				
		CH	Inorganic clays of medium to high plasticity, fat clays	Low to medium	Slow to none	Low to medium		Above 'A' line				
		OH	Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silts	High to very high	None	High		Below 'A' line				
	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS	Pt	Peat and highly organic soils	Identified by colour, odour, spongy feel and generally by fibrous texture				Effervesces with H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>				

Use the gradation of material passing 63mm for classification of fractions according to the criteria given in 'Major Divisions'

### Log Symbols & Abbreviations (Cored Borehole Log)

Log Column	Symbol	Description
Core Size	NQ NMLC HQ	Nominal Core Size (mm) 47 52 63
Water Loss	 	Complete water loss Partial water loss
Weathering	FR SW DW EW RS	Fresh Rock shows no sign of decomposition or staining  Slightly Weathered Rock is slightly discoloured but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock  Distinctly Weathered Rock strength usually changed by weathering. The rock may be highly discoloured, usually by ironstaining. Porosity may be increased by leaching, or may be decreased by deposition of weathering products in pores  Extremely Weathered Rock is weathered to such an extent that it has 'soil' properties, i.e. it either disintegrate or can be remoulded, in water  Residual Soil Soil developed on extremely weathered rock; the mass structure and substance fabric are no longer evident; there is a large change in volume but soil has not been significantly transported
Strength	EL VL L M H VH EH	Term Extremely Low Very Low Low Medium High Very High Extremely High Point Load Strength Index ( $I_{s50}$ , MPa) $\leq 0.03$ $> 0.03$ $\leq 0.1$ $> 0.1$ $\leq 0.3$ $> 0.3$ $\leq 1$ $> 1$ $\leq 3$ $> 3$ $\leq 10$ $> 10$
Defect Spacing		Description Extremely closely spaced Very closely spaced Closely spaced Medium spaced Widely spaced Very widely spaced Extremely widely spaced Spacing (mm) <20 20 to 60 60 to 200 200 to 600 600 to 2000 2000 to 6000 >6000
Defect Description Type	Bp Fp Jo Sh Cs Ds Is	Bedding parting Foliation parting Joint Sheared zone Crushed seam Decomposed seam Infilled seam
Macro-surface geometry	St Cu Un Ir Pl	Stepped Curved Undulating Irregular Planar
Micro-surface geometry	Ro Sm Sl	Rough Smooth Slickensided
Coating or infilling	cn sn vn cg	clean stained vener coating



**AS1726 – Identification of Sedimentary Rocks for Engineering Purposes**

Grain Size mm		Bedded rocks (mostly sedimentary)											
More than 20	20	Grain Size Description		CONGLOMERATE Rounded boulders, cobbles and gravel cemented in a finer matrix  Breccia Irregular rock fragments in a finer matrix		At least 50% of grains are of carbonate			At least 50% of grains are of fine-grained volcanic rock		SALINE ROCKS		
	6	RUDACEOUS											
	2												
	0.6	ARENACEOUS	Coarse	SANDSTONE Angular or rounded grains, commonly cemented by clay, calcite or iron minerals  Quartzite Quartz grains and siliceous cement  Arkose Many feldspar grains Greywacke Many rock chips		LIMESTONE and DOLOMITE (undifferentiated)		Calcarenite		TUFF		Gypsum	
0.2	Medium												
0.06	Fine												
	0.002	ARGILLACEOUS	MUDSTONE	SILTSTONE Mostly silt	Calcareous Mudstone		Calcisiltite	CHALK	Fine-grained TUFF				
Less than 0.002	SHALE Fissile		CLAYSTONE Mostly clay	Calcilitite			Very fine-grained TUFF						
Amorphous or crypto-crystalline				Flint: occurs as hands of nodules in the chalk Chert: occurs as nodules and beds in limestone and calcareous sandstone								COAL LIGNITE	
				Granular cemented – except amorphous rocks									
				SILICEOUS				CALCAREOUS			SILICEOUS		CARBONACEOUS
		SEDIMENTARY ROCKS Granular cemented rocks vary greatly in strength, some sandstones are stronger than many Igneous rocks. Bedding may not show in hand specimens and is best seen in outcrop. Only sedimentary rocks, and some metamorphic rocks derived from them, contain fossils  Calcareous rocks contain calcite (calcium carbonate) which effervesces with dilute hydrochloric acid											

**AS1726 – Identification of Metamorphic and Igneous Rocks for Engineering Purposes**

Obviously foliated rocks (mostly metamorphic)			Rocks with massive structure and crystalline texture (mostly igneous)						Grain size (mm)
Grain size description			MARBLE  QUARTZITE  Granulite  HORNFELS  Amphibolite  Serpentine	Grain size description	Pegmatite		GABBRO	Pyrosenite	More than 20
COARSE	GNEISS Well developed but often widely spaced foliation sometimes with schistose bands  Migmatite Irregularly foliated: mixed schists and gneisses			COARSE	GRANITE	Diorite		Peridorite	20
					These rocks are sometimes porphyritic and are then described, for example, as porphyritic granite				6
									2
MEDIUM	SCHIST Well developed undulose foliation; generally much mica		MEDIUM	Microgranite	Microdiorite	Dolerite			
				These rocks are sometimes porphyritic and are then described as porphyries			0.6		
							0.2		
FINE	PHYLLITE Slightly undulose foliation; sometimes 'spotted'		FINE	RHYOLITE	ANDESITE	BASALT		0.06	
	SLATE Well developed plane cleavage (foliation)			These rocks are sometimes porphyritic and are then described as porphyries			0.002		
	Mylonite Found in fault zones, mainly in igneous and metamorphic areas			Obsidian	Volcanic glass			Less than 0.002	
CRYSTALLINE				Pale<----->Dark					Amorphous or cryptocrystalline
SILICEOUS		Mainly SILICEOUS		ACID Much quartz	INTERMEDIATE Some quartz	BASIC Little or no quartz	ULTRA BASIC		
METAMORPHIC ROCKS Most metamorphic rocks are distinguished by foliation which may impart fissility. Foliation in gneisses is best observed in outcrop. Non-foliated metamorphics are difficult to recognize except by association. Any rock baked by contact metamorphism is described as 'hornfels' and is generally somewhat stronger than the parent rock  Most fresh metamorphic rocks are strong although perhaps fissile			IGNEOUS ROCKS Composed of closely interlocking mineral grains. Strong when fresh; not porous  Mode of occurrence : 1 Batholith; 2 Laccoliths; 3 Sills; 4 Dykes; 5 Lava Flows; 6 Veins						

PRESIDA CONSTRUCTIONS PTY LTD  
SUITE 410, LEVEL 4, 14 LEXINGTON DRIVE  
BELLA VISTA NSW 2153

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION  
PROPOSED INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, LOT 14 IN DP 286568, 7 RENSCHAW STREET, CRANEBROOK

## CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO TEST REPORT

Page 1 of 1

CBR Test Procedure		Laboratory Compaction Method		Sampling Method		Date of Test	
AS1289 6.1.1		AS1289 5.1.1		AS1289 1.2.1 Clause 6.5.4		16/12/2019	
Job No: 14577/1		Tested By: BG		Checked By: AK		Lab	Penrith
Laboratory Number		14577/1-1		14577/1-2		14577/1-3	
		Test Pit 1		Test Pit 3		Test Pit 6	
Drawing No		14577/1-AA1		14577/1-AA1		14577/1-AA1	
Sample No		1		2		3	
Depth (m)		0.2 - 0.8		0.6 - 0.9		0.3 - 0.6	
Date Sampled		09/12/2019		09/12/2019		09/12/2019	
Sample Description		FILL: Clayey Sand, fines of low plasticity, red-brown, some fine to medium gravel		FILL: Clayey Sand, fines of low plasticity, red-brown, some fine to medium gravel		FILL: Clayey Sand, fines of low plasticity, red-brown, some fine to medium gravel	
Maximum Dry Density t/m3		2.07		1.94		2.00	
Optimum Moisture Content %		9.4		11.7		10.6	
Field Moisture Content %		7.1		5.5		7.6	
% Retained 19mm		5.0		6.1		7.4	
Excluded (Yes / No / Not Applicable)		Yes		Yes		Yes	
CBR TEST RESULTS							
Dry Density t/m³	Before soaking	2.02		1.91		2.00	
	After soaking	2.02		1.88		2.00	
Density Ratio %	Before soaking	97.5		98.5		100	
Moisture Content %	Before soaking	9.3		11.2		10.5	
	After soaking	10.6		12.7		11.9	
Moisture Ratio %	Before soaking	99		95.5		99	
Number of Days Soaked		4		4		4	
Surcharge	kg	9		9		9	
Moisture Content after test %	Top 30mm	11.6		13.1		11.5	
	Whole Sample	10.5		12.6		11.8	
Swell after soaking %		Nil		1.5		Nil	
Penetration mm		5.0		5.0		5.0	
CBR VALUE %		20		5		10	

Form No R003 Version 04 06/13 - issued by ER



Nata Accreditation Number 2734  
Corporate Site Number 2727

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing.

A Kench

18/12/2019

Approved Signatory

PRESIDA CONSTRUCTIONS PTY LTD  
SUITE 410, LEVEL 4, 14 LEXINGTON DRIVE  
BELLA VISTA NSW 2153

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION  
PROPOSED INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, LOT 14 IN DP 286568, 7 RENSHAW STREET, CRANEBROOK

**TEST RESULTS - ATTERBERG LIMITS**  
**Test Procedure AS1289 3.1.1, 3.2.1, 3.3.1, 3.4.1**

Page 1 of 1

Job No:	14577/1	Tested By:	BC & BG
Laboratory	Penrith	Checked By:	AK
Date Tested	16/12/2019		
Sample Identification	Test Pit 1	Test Pit 3	Test Pit 6
Laboratory Number	14577/1-1	14577/1-2	14577/1-3
Depth (m)	0.2 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.9	0.3 - 0.6
<b>Test Description</b>			
Liquid Limit (W <sub>L</sub> )	22%	28%	23%
Plastic Limit (W <sub>P</sub> )	12%	14%	13%
Plastic Index (I <sub>P</sub> )	10%	14%	10%
Linear Shrinkage (LS)	4.5%	8.5%	4.0%
Mould Length (mm)	127	127	125
<b>Sample History</b>			
	Oven Dried Dry Sieved	Oven Dried Dry Sieved	Oven Dried Dry Sieved
<b>Material Description</b>			
	FILL: Clayey Sand, fines of low plasticity, red-brown, some fine to medium gravel	FILL: Clayey Sand, fines of low plasticity, red-brown, some fine to medium gravel	FILL: Clayey Sand, fines of low plasticity, red-brown, some fine to medium gravel

Form No R004 Version 12 - 06/13 - Issued by ER



Nata Accreditation Number 2734  
Corporate Site Number 2727

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing.

A Kench

18/12/2019  
Approved Signatory

34 Borec Road, Penrith NSW 2750  
Telephone: (02) 4722 2744

Unit 4, 18-20 Whyalla Place, Prestons NSW 2170  
Telephone: (02) 9607 6111

email: info@geotech.com.au www.geotech.com.au

## CLIENT DETAILS

**Contact** Ziauddin Ahmed  
**Client** Geotechnique  
**Address** P.O. Box 880  
 NSW 2751  
  
**Telephone** 02 4722 2700  
**Facsimile** 02 4722 6161  
**Email** ziauddin@geotech.com.au  
**Project** **14577/1 7 Renshaw St Cranebrook**  
**Order Number** (Not specified)  
**Samples** 22

## LABORATORY DETAILS

**Manager** Huong Crawford  
**Laboratory** SGS Alexandria Environmental  
**Address** Unit 16, 33 Maddox St  
 Alexandria NSW 2015  
  
**Telephone** +61 2 8594 0400  
**Facsimile** +61 2 8594 0499  
**Email** au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com  
**SGS Reference** **SE201068 R0**  
**Date Received** 10/12/2019  
**Date Reported** 17/12/2019

## COMMENTS

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. NATA accredited laboratory 2562(4354).

## SIGNATORIES



**Dong LIANG**  
 Metals/Inorganics Team Leader



**Kamrul AHSAN**  
 Senior Chemist

Soluble Anions (1:5) in Soil by Ion Chromatography [AN245] Tested: 16/12/2019

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP2	TP3	TP5	TP6	TP8
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.2-0.5	1.5-1.7	1.0-1.2	1.0-1.3	1.5-1.7
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.003	SE201068.006	SE201068.010	SE201068.012	SE201068.016
Sulfate	mg/kg	5	270	190	100	610	260

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP10
			SOIL
			1.5-1.7
			9/12/2019
			SE201068.022
Sulfate	mg/kg	5	81

pH in soil (1:5) [AN101] Tested: 17/12/2019

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.5-0.7	1.0-1.2	0.2-0.5	1.0-1.3	0.6-0.8
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.001	SE201068.002	SE201068.003	SE201068.004	SE201068.005
pH	pH Units	0.1	<b>8.3</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.6</b>

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP3	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP5
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.5-1.7	0.5-0.7	1.3-1.5	0.3-0.5	1.0-1.2
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.006	SE201068.007	SE201068.008	SE201068.009	SE201068.010
pH	pH Units	0.1	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>7.9</b>

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP6	TP6	TP7	TP7	TP8
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.3-0.6	1.0-1.3	0.5-0.7	1.5-1.7	0.5-0.7
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.011	SE201068.012	SE201068.013	SE201068.014	SE201068.015
pH	pH Units	0.1	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>6.8</b>

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP8	TP9	TP9	TP9	TP10
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.5-1.7	0.2-0.5	1.0-1.2	1.8-2.0	0.5-0.7
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.016	SE201068.017	SE201068.018	SE201068.019	SE201068.020
pH	pH Units	0.1	<b>7.6</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>7.7</b>

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP10	TP10
			SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	1.5-1.7
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.021	SE201068.022
pH	pH Units	0.1	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.0</b>

## Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil [AN106] Tested: 17/12/2019

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.5-0.7	1.0-1.2	0.2-0.5	1.0-1.3	0.6-0.8
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.001	SE201068.002	SE201068.003	SE201068.004	SE201068.005
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 as received)	µS/cm	1	180	250	290	240	160
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	200	280	310	260	180

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP3	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP5
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.5-1.7	0.5-0.7	1.3-1.5	0.3-0.5	1.0-1.2
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.006	SE201068.007	SE201068.008	SE201068.009	SE201068.010
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 as received)	µS/cm	1	190	330	320	260	180
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	220	350	380	280	190

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP6	TP6	TP7	TP7	TP8
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.3-0.6	1.0-1.3	0.5-0.7	1.5-1.7	0.5-0.7
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.011	SE201068.012	SE201068.013	SE201068.014	SE201068.015
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 as received)	µS/cm	1	310	530	280	210	280
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	340	570	310	240	300

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP8	TP9	TP9	TP9	TP10
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.5-1.7	0.2-0.5	1.0-1.2	1.8-2.0	0.5-0.7
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.016	SE201068.017	SE201068.018	SE201068.019	SE201068.020
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 as received)	µS/cm	1	540	250	230	400	230
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	640	280	260	500	250

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP10	TP10
			SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	1.5-1.7
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.021	SE201068.022
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 as received)	µS/cm	1	220	330
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	240	410

Exchangeable Cations and Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC/ESP/SAR) [AN122] Tested: 16/12/2019

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP1	TP4	TP7	TP9
			SOIL 0.5-0.7 9/12/2019 SE201068.001	SOIL 1.3-1.5 9/12/2019 SE201068.008	SOIL 1.5-1.7 9/12/2019 SE201068.014	SOIL 1.8-2.0 9/12/2019 SE201068.019
Exchangeable Sodium, Na	mg/kg	2	<b>73</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>1100</b>
Exchangeable Sodium, Na	meq/100g	0.01	<b>0.32</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>4.9</b>
Exchangeable Sodium Percentage*	%	0.1	<b>4.1</b>	<b>32.1</b>	<b>17.7</b>	<b>26.1</b>



Moisture Content [AN002] Tested: 16/12/2019

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.5-0.7	1.0-1.2	0.2-0.5	1.0-1.3	0.6-0.8
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.001	SE201068.002	SE201068.003	SE201068.004	SE201068.005
% Moisture	%w/w	1	7.1	11.7	7.6	7.7	11.4

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP3	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP5
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.5-1.7	0.5-0.7	1.3-1.5	0.3-0.5	1.0-1.2
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.006	SE201068.007	SE201068.008	SE201068.009	SE201068.010
% Moisture	%w/w	1	14.4	6.4	17.2	7.9	6.9

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP6	TP6	TP7	TP7	TP8
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			0.3-0.6	1.0-1.3	0.5-0.7	1.5-1.7	0.5-0.7
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.011	SE201068.012	SE201068.013	SE201068.014	SE201068.015
% Moisture	%w/w	1	7.6	7.9	9.0	10.7	5.3

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP8	TP9	TP9	TP9	TP10
			SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			1.5-1.7	0.2-0.5	1.0-1.2	1.8-2.0	0.5-0.7
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.016	SE201068.017	SE201068.018	SE201068.019	SE201068.020
% Moisture	%w/w	1	16.3	10.1	13.5	19.4	9.6

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	TP10	TP10
			SOIL	SOIL
			1.0-1.2	1.5-1.7
			9/12/2019	9/12/2019
			SE201068.021	SE201068.022
% Moisture	%w/w	1	10.2	18.3

## METHOD

## METHODOLOGY SUMMARY

### AN002

The test is carried out by drying (at either 40°C or 105°C) a known mass of sample in a weighed evaporating basin. After fully dry the sample is re-weighed. Samples such as sludge and sediment having high percentages of moisture will take some time in a drying oven for complete removal of water.

### AN101

pH in Soil Sludge Sediment and Water: pH is measured electrometrically using a combination electrode and is calibrated against 3 buffers purchased commercially. For soils, sediments and sludges, an extract with water (or 0.01M CaCl<sub>2</sub>) is made at a ratio of 1:5 and the pH determined and reported on the extract. Reference APHA 4500-H+.

### AN106

Conductivity and TDS by Calculation: Conductivity is measured by meter with temperature compensation and is calibrated against a standard solution of potassium chloride. Conductivity is generally reported as µmhos/cm or µS/cm @ 25°C. For soils, an extract with water is made at a ratio of 1:5 and the EC determined and reported on the extract, or calculated back to the as-received sample. Salinity can be estimated from conductivity using a conversion factor, which for natural waters, is in the range 0.55 to 0.75. Reference APHA 2510 B.

### AN122

Exchangeable Cations, CEC and ESP: Soil sample is extracted in 1M Ammonium Acetate at pH=7 (or 1M Ammonium Chloride at pH=7) with cations (Na, K, Ca & Mg) then determined by ICP OES/ICP MS and reported as Exchangeable Cations. For saline soils, these results can be corrected for water soluble cations and reported as Exchangeable cations in meq/100g or soil can be pre-treated (aqueous ethanol/aqueous glycerol) prior to extraction. Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) is the sum of the exchangeable cations in meq/100g.

### AN122

The Exchangeable Sodium Percentage (ESP) is calculated as the exchangeable sodium divided by the CEC (all in meq/100g) times 100.

ESP can be used to categorise the sodicity of the soil as below :

ESP < 6%	non-sodic
ESP 6-15%	sodic
ESP >15%	strongly sodic

Method is referenced to Rayment and Lyons, 2011, sections 15D3 and 15N1.-

### AN245

Anions by Ion Chromatography: A water sample is injected into an eluent stream that passes through the ion chromatographic system where the anions of interest ie Br, Cl, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>4</sub> are separated on their relative affinities for the active sites on the column packing material. Changes to the conductivity and the UV-visible absorbance of the eluent enable identification and quantitation of the anions based on their retention time and peak height or area. APHA 4110 B

FOOTNOTES

*	NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service.	-	Not analysed.	UOM	Unit of Measure.
**	Indicative data, theoretical holding time exceeded.	NVL	Not validated.	LOR	Limit of Reporting.
		IS	Insufficient sample for analysis.	↑↓	Raised/lowered Limit of Reporting.
		LNR	Sample listed, but not received.		

Unless it is reported that sampling has been performed by SGS, the samples have been analysed as received.  
Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

Where "Total" analyte groups are reported (for example, Total PAHs, Total OC Pesticides) the total will be calculated as the sum of the individual analytes, with those analytes that are reported as <LOR being assumed to be zero. The summed (Total) limit of reporting is calculated by summing the individual analyte LORs and dividing by two. For example, where 16 individual analytes are being summed and each has an LOR of 0.1 mg/kg, the "Totals" LOR will be 1.6 / 2 (0.8 mg/kg). Where only 2 analytes are being summed, the "Total" LOR will be the sum of those two LORs.

Some totals may not appear to add up because the total is rounded after adding up the raw values.

If reported, measurement uncertainty follow the ± sign after the analytical result and is expressed as the expanded uncertainty calculated using a coverage factor of 2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%, unless stated otherwise in the comments section of this report.

Results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, radionuclide or gross radioactivity concentrations are expressed in becquerel (Bq) per unit of mass or volume or per wipe as stated on the report. Becquerel is the SI unit for activity and equals one nuclear transformation per second.

Note that in terms of units of radioactivity:

- 1 Bq is equivalent to 27 pCi
- 37 MBq is equivalent to 1 mCi

For results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, less than (<) values indicate the detection limit for each radionuclide or parameter for the measurement system used. The respective detection limits have been calculated in accordance with ISO 11929.

The QC and MU criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QAQC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here: [www.sgs.com.au/pv.sgsvr/en-gb/environment](http://www.sgs.com.au/pv.sgsvr/en-gb/environment).

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at [www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx](http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx). Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

This report must not be reproduced, except in full.



## STATEMENT OF QA/QC PERFORMANCE

SE201068 R0

### CLIENT DETAILS

Contact Ziauddin Ahmed  
Client Geotechnique  
Address P.O. Box 880  
NSW 2751

Telephone 02 4722 2700  
Facsimile 02 4722 6161  
Email ziauddin@geotech.com.au

Project **14577/1 7 Renshaw St Cranebrook**  
Order Number (Not specified)  
Samples 22

### LABORATORY DETAILS

Manager Huong Crawford  
Laboratory SGS Alexandria Environmental  
Address Unit 16, 33 Maddox St  
Alexandria NSW 2015

Telephone +61 2 8594 0400  
Facsimile +61 2 8594 0499  
Email au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com

SGS Reference **SE201068 R0**  
Date Received 10 Dec 2019  
Date Reported 17 Dec 2019

### COMMENTS

All the laboratory data for each environmental matrix was compared to SGS' stated Data Quality Objectives (DQO). Comments arising from the comparison were made and are reported below.

The data relating to sampling was taken from the Chain of Custody document.  
This QA/QC Statement must be read in conjunction with the referenced Analytical Report.  
The Statement and the Analytical Report must not be reproduced except in full.

All Data Quality Objectives were met with the exception of the following:

Extraction Date	Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil	22 items
	pH in soil (1:5)	22 items
Analysis Date	Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil	22 items

### SAMPLE SUMMARY

Samples clearly labelled	Yes	Complete documentation received	Yes
Sample container provider	Client	Sample cooling method	None
Samples received in correct containers	Yes	Sample counts by matrix	22 Soil
Date documentation received	09/12/2019@03:56p	Type of documentation received	COC
Samples received in good order	Yes	Samples received without headspace	N/A
Sample temperature upon receipt	18.7°C	Sufficient sample for analysis	Yes
Turnaround time requested	Standard		

SGS holding time criteria are drawn from current regulations and are highly dependent on sample container preservation as specified in the SGS "Field Sampling Guide for Containers and Holding Time" (ref: GU-(AU)-ENV.001). Soil samples guidelines are derived from NEPM "Schedule B(3) Guideline on Laboratory Analysis of Potentially Contaminated Soils". Water sample guidelines are derived from "AS/NZS 5667.1 : 1998 Water Quality - sampling part 1" and APHA "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" 21st edition 2005.

Extraction and analysis holding time due dates listed are calculated from the date sampled, although holding times may be extended after laboratory extraction for some analytes. The due dates are the suggested dates that samples may be held before extraction or analysis and still be considered valid.

Extraction and analysis dates are shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria. If the sampled date is not supplied then compliance with criteria cannot be determined. If the received date is after one or both due dates then holding time will fail by default.

### Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN106

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
TP1	SE201068.001	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP1	SE201068.002	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP2	SE201068.003	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP2	SE201068.004	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP3	SE201068.005	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP3	SE201068.006	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP4	SE201068.007	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP4	SE201068.008	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP5	SE201068.009	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP5	SE201068.010	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP6	SE201068.011	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP6	SE201068.012	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP7	SE201068.013	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP7	SE201068.014	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP8	SE201068.015	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP8	SE201068.016	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP9	SE201068.017	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP9	SE201068.018	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP9	SE201068.019	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP10	SE201068.020	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP10	SE201068.021	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†
TP10	SE201068.022	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†

### Exchangeable Cations and Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC/ESP/SAR)

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN122

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
TP1	SE201068.001	LB190092	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	06 Jan 2020	16 Dec 2019	06 Jan 2020	17 Dec 2019
TP4	SE201068.008	LB190092	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	06 Jan 2020	16 Dec 2019	06 Jan 2020	17 Dec 2019
TP7	SE201068.014	LB190092	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	06 Jan 2020	16 Dec 2019	06 Jan 2020	17 Dec 2019
TP9	SE201068.019	LB190092	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	06 Jan 2020	16 Dec 2019	06 Jan 2020	17 Dec 2019

### Moisture Content

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN002

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
TP1	SE201068.001	LB190044	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP1	SE201068.002	LB190044	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP2	SE201068.003	LB190044	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP2	SE201068.004	LB190044	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP3	SE201068.005	LB190044	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP3	SE201068.006	LB190044	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP4	SE201068.007	LB190044	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP4	SE201068.008	LB190044	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP5	SE201068.009	LB190044	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP5	SE201068.010	LB190044	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP6	SE201068.011	LB190045	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP6	SE201068.012	LB190045	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP7	SE201068.013	LB190045	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP7	SE201068.014	LB190045	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP8	SE201068.015	LB190045	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP8	SE201068.016	LB190045	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP9	SE201068.017	LB190045	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP9	SE201068.018	LB190045	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP9	SE201068.019	LB190045	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP10	SE201068.020	LB190045	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP10	SE201068.021	LB190045	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP10	SE201068.022	LB190045	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	23 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	21 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019

### pH in soil (1:5)

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN101

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
TP1	SE201068.001	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP1	SE201068.002	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP2	SE201068.003	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP2	SE201068.004	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019

SGS holding time criteria are drawn from current regulations and are highly dependent on sample container preservation as specified in the SGS "Field Sampling Guide for Containers and Holding Time" (ref: GU-(AU)-ENV.001). Soil samples guidelines are derived from NEPM "Schedule B(3) Guideline on Laboratory Analysis of Potentially Contaminated Soils". Water sample guidelines are derived from "AS/NZS 5667.1 : 1998 Water Quality - sampling part 1" and APHA "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" 21st edition 2005.

Extraction and analysis holding time due dates listed are calculated from the date sampled, although holding times may be extended after laboratory extraction for some analytes. The due dates are the suggested dates that samples may be held before extraction or analysis and still be considered valid.

Extraction and analysis dates are shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria. If the sampled date is not supplied then compliance with criteria cannot be determined. If the received date is after one or both due dates then holding time will fail by default.

### pH in soil (1:5) (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN101

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
TP3	SE201068.005	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP3	SE201068.006	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP4	SE201068.007	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP4	SE201068.008	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP5	SE201068.009	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP5	SE201068.010	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP6	SE201068.011	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP6	SE201068.012	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP7	SE201068.013	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP7	SE201068.014	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP8	SE201068.015	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP8	SE201068.016	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP9	SE201068.017	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP9	SE201068.018	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP9	SE201068.019	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP10	SE201068.020	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP10	SE201068.021	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019
TP10	SE201068.022	LB190149	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019†	18 Dec 2019	17 Dec 2019

### Soluble Anions (1:5) in Soil by Ion Chromatography

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN245

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
TP2	SE201068.003	LB190018	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	13 Jan 2020	17 Dec 2019
TP3	SE201068.006	LB190018	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	13 Jan 2020	17 Dec 2019
TP5	SE201068.010	LB190018	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	13 Jan 2020	17 Dec 2019
TP6	SE201068.012	LB190018	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	13 Jan 2020	17 Dec 2019
TP8	SE201068.016	LB190018	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	13 Jan 2020	17 Dec 2019
TP10	SE201068.022	LB190018	09 Dec 2019	10 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	16 Dec 2019	13 Jan 2020	17 Dec 2019

Surrogate results are evaluated against upper and lower limit criteria established in the SGS QA/QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). At least two of three routine level soil sample surrogate spike recoveries for BTEX/VOC are to be within 70-130% where control charts have not been developed and within the established control limits for charted surrogates. Matrix effects may void this as an acceptance criterion. Water sample surrogate spike recoveries are to be within 40-130%. The presence of emulsions, surfactants and particulates may void this as an acceptance criterion.

Result is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

No surrogates were required for this job.

Blank results are evaluated against the limit of reporting (LOR), for the chosen method and its associated instrumentation, typically 2.5 times the statistically determined method detection limit (MDL).

Result is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

## Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN106

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB190149.001	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 as received)	µS/cm	1	<1
	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	0.9

## Exchangeable Cations and Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC/ESP/SAR)

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN122

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB190092.001	Exchangeable Sodium, Na	mg/kg	2	0

## Soluble Anions (1:5) in Soil by Ion Chromatography

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN245

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB190018.001	Sulfate	mg/kg	5	<5.0



Duplicates are calculated as Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) using the formula:  $RPD = | \text{OriginalResult} - \text{ReplicateResult} | \times 100 / \text{Mean}$

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula:  $MAD = 100 \times \text{SDL} / \text{Mean} + \text{LR}$

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

#### Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN106

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE201068.010	LB190149.014	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 as received)	µS/cm	1	180	190	31	4
		Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	190	01.418225709	31	4
SE201068.020	LB190149.025	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 as received)	µS/cm	1	230	230	31	0
		Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	250	51.963975155	31	0

#### Moisture Content

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN002

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE201068.004	LB190044.011	% Moisture	%w/w	1	7.7	8.3	42	8
SE201068.010	LB190044.018	% Moisture	%w/w	1	6.9	8.0	43	15
SE201068.020	LB190045.011	% Moisture	%w/w	1	9.6	10.1	40	5

#### pH in soil (1:5)

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN101

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE201068.010	LB190149.014	pH	pH Units	0.1	7.9	8.0	31	1
SE201068.020	LB190149.025	pH	pH Units	0.1	7.7	7.9	31	3

#### Soluble Anions (1:5) in Soil by Ion Chromatography

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN245

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE201068.010	LB190018.007	Sulfate	mg/kg	5	100	03.609535304	35	1

Laboratory Control Standard (LCS) results are evaluated against an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into the control during the sample preparation stage, producing a percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA /QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

## Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN106

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB190149.002	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 as received)	µS/cm	1	310	303	85 - 115	102
	Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	µS/cm	1	NA	303	85 - 115	102

## pH in soil (1:5)

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN101

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB190149.003	pH	pH Units	0.1	7.4	7.415	98 - 102	99

## Soluble Anions (1:5) in Soil by Ion Chromatography

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN245

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB190018.002	Sulfate	mg/kg	5	98	100	70 - 130	98

Matrix Spike (MS) results are evaluated as the percentage recovery of an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into a field sub-sample during the sample preparation stage. The original sample's result is subtracted from the sub-sample result before determining the percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA/QC plan (ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

No matrix spikes were required for this job.

Matrix spike duplicates are calculated as Relative Percent Difference (RPD) using the formula:  $RPD = | \text{OriginalResult} - \text{ReplicateResult} | \times 100 / \text{Mean}$

The original result is the analyte concentration of the matrix spike. The Duplicate result is the analyte concentration of the matrix spike duplicate.

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula:  $MAD = 100 \times \text{SDL} / \text{Mean} + \text{LR}$

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

No matrix spike duplicates were required for this job.

Samples analysed as received.

Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

QC criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QA/QC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here : [https://www.sgs.com.au/~media/Local/Australia/Documents/Technical Documents/MP-AU-ENV-QU-022\\_QA\\_QC\\_Plan.pdf](https://www.sgs.com.au/~media/Local/Australia/Documents/Technical Documents/MP-AU-ENV-QU-022_QA_QC_Plan.pdf)

- \* NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service .
  - \*\* Indicative data, theoretical holding time exceeded.
  - Sample not analysed for this analyte.
  - IS Insufficient sample for analysis.
  - LNR Sample listed, but not received.
  - LOR Limit of reporting.
  - QFH QC result is above the upper tolerance.
  - QFL QC result is below the lower tolerance.
- 
- ① At least 2 of 3 surrogates are within acceptance criteria.
  - ② RPD failed acceptance criteria due to sample heterogeneity.
  - ③ Results less than 5 times LOR preclude acceptance criteria for RPD.
  - ④ Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to matrix interference.
  - ⑤ Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to the presence of significant concentration of analyte (i.e. the concentration of analyte exceeds the spike level).
  - ⑥ LOR was raised due to sample matrix interference.
  - ⑦ LOR was raised due to dilution of significantly high concentration of analyte in sample.
  - ⑧ Reanalysis of sample in duplicate confirmed sample heterogeneity and inconsistency of results.
  - ⑨ Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to sample heterogeneity.
  - ⑩ LOR was raised due to high conductivity of the sample (required dilution).
  - † Refer to relevant report comments for further information.

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at [www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx](http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx). Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law .

This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full.

**E-MAILED**  
9/12/19 @ 3:56 PM

**Lemko Place**  
**PENRITH NSW 2750**

---

**P O Box 880**  
**PENRITH NSW 2751**

**Tel: (02) 4722 2700**  
**Fax: (02) 4722 6161**  
**email: [info@gototech.com.au](mailto:info@gototech.com.au)**

Tel: (02) 4722 2700  
Fax: (02) 4722 6161  
email: [info@geotech.com.au](mailto:info@geotech.com.au)

1 of 1

SGS ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Job No 14577/1

1 of 1

Project: Proposed Industrial Development

**Location:** 7 Renshaw St Cranebrook

[illegible]



## SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

SE201068

### CLIENT DETAILS

Contact Ziauddin Ahmed  
Client Geotechnique  
Address P.O. Box 880  
NSW 2751  
  
Telephone 02 4722 2700  
Facsimile 02 4722 6161  
Email ziauddin@geotech.com.au  
  
Project **14577/1 7 Renshaw St Cranebrook**  
Order Number (Not specified)  
Samples 22

### LABORATORY DETAILS

Manager Huong Crawford  
Laboratory SGS Alexandria Environmental  
Address Unit 16, 33 Maddox St  
Alexandria NSW 2015  
  
Telephone +61 2 8594 0400  
Facsimile +61 2 8594 0499  
Email au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com  
  
Samples Received Tue 10/12/2019  
Report Due Tue 17/12/2019  
SGS Reference **SE201068**

### SUBMISSION DETAILS

This is to confirm that 22 samples were received on Tuesday 10/12/2019. Results are expected to be ready by COB Tuesday 17/12/2019. Please quote SGS reference SE201068 when making enquiries. Refer below for details relating to sample integrity upon receipt.

Samples clearly labelled	Yes	Complete documentation received	Yes
Sample container provided	Client	Sample cooling method	None
Samples received in correct containers	Yes	Sample counts by matrix	22 Soil
Date documentation received	09/12/2019@03:56pm	Type of documentation received	COC
Samples received in good order	Yes	Samples received without headspace	N/A
Sample temperature upon receipt	18.7°C	Sufficient sample for analysis	Yes
Turnaround time requested	Standard		

Unless otherwise instructed, water and bulk samples will be held for one month from date of report, and soil samples will be held for two months.

### COMMENTS

Sampling date was not provided. It is assumed to be as date samples were relinquished.

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at [www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx](http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx). Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

## CLIENT DETAILS

Client Geotechnique

Project 14577/1 7 Renshaw St Cranebrook

## SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

No.	Sample ID	Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil	Exchangeable Cations and Cation Exchange Capacity	Moisture Content	pH in soil (1:5)	Soluble Anions (1:5) in Soil by Ion Chromatography
001	TP1 0.5-0.7	2	3	1	1	-
002	TP1 1.0-1.2	2	-	1	1	-
003	TP2 0.2-0.5	2	-	1	1	1
004	TP2 1.0-1.3	2	-	1	1	-
005	TP3 0.6-0.8	2	-	1	1	-
006	TP3 1.5-1.7	2	-	1	1	1
007	TP4 0.5-0.7	2	-	1	1	-
008	TP4 1.3-1.5	2	3	1	1	-
009	TP5 0.3-0.5	2	-	1	1	-
010	TP5 1.0-1.2	2	-	1	1	1
011	TP6 0.3-0.6	2	-	1	1	-
012	TP6 1.0-1.3	2	-	1	1	1
013	TP7 0.5-0.7	2	-	1	1	-
014	TP7 1.5-1.7	2	3	1	1	-
015	TP8 0.5-0.7	2	-	1	1	-
016	TP8 1.5-1.7	2	-	1	1	1
017	TP9 0.2-0.5	2	-	1	1	-
018	TP9 1.0-1.2	2	-	1	1	-
019	TP9 1.8-2.0	2	3	1	1	-
020	TP10 0.5-0.7	2	-	1	1	-
021	TP10 1.0-1.2	2	-	1	1	-
022	TP10 1.5-1.7	2	-	1	1	1

The above table represents SGS' interpretation of the client-supplied Chain Of Custody document.

The numbers shown in the table indicate the number of results requested in each package.

Please indicate as soon as possible should your request differ from these details .

Testing as per this table shall commence immediately unless the client intervenes with a correction .