## DELEGATE'S REPORT

Proposed Development: Installation of a Spray Painting Booth  Estimated Cost: \$ 1,482,800  Assessing Officer James Heathcote  Report Date 30 November 2018  Final Decision:  Approve Prefuse Deferred Com  Reason for Final Decision:  1. The assessment of the application as outlined in the report has considered relevant matters and establishes that the proposed development is appropriate having regard to Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.  2. The proposed development is not considered to result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.  DA Type:  Integrated Extension of time Review of determination SWCPP Modification  I have considered the report prepared by James Heathcote and dated 30 November 2018. I have considered the recommendation contained in that report relating to this matter, and pursuant to the delegated authority granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:  Determined in accordance with the recommendation:  Name of Delegate: Kate Smith  Signature of Delegate: Kate Smith  Position Title: Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.	Application Number:	DA18/1096	
Assessing Officer  Report Date  James Heathcote  30 November 2018  Final Decision:  Approve  Refuse  Deferred Com  Reason for Final Decision:  1. The assessment of the application as outlined in the report has considered relevant matters and establishes that the proposed development is appropriate having regard to Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.  2. The proposed development is not considered to result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.  DA Type:  Integrated  Extension of time  Review of determination  SWCPP  Modification  I have considered the report prepared by James Heathcote and dated 30 November 2018. I have considered the recommendation contained in that report relating to this matter, and pursuant to the delegated authority granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:  Determined in accordance with the recommendation:  Name of Delegate:  Kate Smith  Signature of Delegate:  Position Title:  Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority:  EPA01.21  Date of Determination:  30 November 2018.	Proposed Development:	Installation of a Spray Painting Booth	
Final Decision:  Approve Refuse Deferred Com  Reason for Final Decision:  1. The assessment of the application as outlined in the report has considered relevant matters and establishes that the proposed development is appropriate having regard to Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.  2. The proposed development is not considered to result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.  DA Type:  Integrated Extension of time Review of determination SWCPP Modification  I have considered the report prepared by James Heathcote and dated 30 November 2018. I have considered the recommendation contained in that report relating to this matter, and pursuant to the delegated authority granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:  Determined in accordance with the recommendation:  Name of Delegate:  Kate Smith  Signature of Delegate:  Position Title:  Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority:  EPA01.21  Date of Determination:  30 November 2018.	Estimated Cost:	\$ 1,482,800	
Final Decision:  Approve	Assessing Officer	James Heathcote	
Reason for Final Decision:  1. The assessment of the application as outlined in the report has considered relevant matters and establishes that the proposed development is appropriate having regard to Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.  2. The proposed development is not considered to result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.  DA Type:    Integrated	Report Date	30 November 2018	
Reason for Final Decision:  1. The assessment of the application as outlined in the report has considered relevant matters and establishes that the proposed development is appropriate having regard to Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.  2. The proposed development is not considered to result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.  DA Type:    Integrated			
Reason for Final Decision:  1. The assessment of the application as outlined in the report has considered relevant matters and establishes that the proposed development is appropriate having regard to Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.  2. The proposed development is not considered to result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.  DA Type:    Integrated	Final Decision:		
Reason for Final Decision: 1. The assessment of the application as outlined in the report has considered relevant matters and establishes that the proposed development is appropriate having regard to Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.  2. The proposed development is not considered to result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.    DA Type:			
1. The assessment of the application as outlined in the report has considered relevant matters and establishes that the proposed development is appropriate having regard to Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.  2. The proposed development is not considered to result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.  DA Type:    Integrated	Approve Re	efuse Deferred Com	
1. The assessment of the application as outlined in the report has considered relevant matters and establishes that the proposed development is appropriate having regard to Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.  2. The proposed development is not considered to result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.  DA Type:  Integrated Extension of time Review of determination SWCPP Modification  I have considered the report prepared by James Heathcote and dated 30 November 2018. I have considered the recommendation contained in that report relating to this matter, and pursuant to the delegated authority granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:  Determined in accordance with the recommendation:  Name of Delegate: Kate Smith  Signature of Delegate: Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.	Reason for Final Decision:		
and establishes that the proposed development is appropriate having regard to Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.  2. The proposed development is not considered to result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.  DA Type:  Integrated Extension of time Review of determination SWCPP Modification  I have considered the report prepared by James Heathcote and dated 30 November 2018. I have considered the recommendation contained in that report relating to this matter, and pursuant to the delegated authority granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:  Determined in accordance with the recommendation:  Name of Delegate: Kate Smith  Position Title: Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.			
2. The proposed development is not considered to result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.  DA Type:  Integrated Extension of time Review of determination SWCPP Modification  I have considered the report prepared by James Heathcote and dated 30 November 2018. I have considered the recommendation contained in that report relating to this matter, and pursuant to the delegated authority granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:  Determined in accordance with the recommendation:  Name of Delegate: Kate Smith  Position Title: Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.	and establishes that the propose	ed development is appropriate having regard to Section 4.15 of	
natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.  DA Type:  Integrated Extension of time Review of determination SWCPP Modification  I have considered the report prepared by James Heathcote and dated 30 November 2018. I have considered the recommendation contained in that report relating to this matter, and pursuant to the delegated authority granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:  Determined in accordance with the recommendation:  Name of Delegate: Kate Smith  Position Title: Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.	the Environmental Planning and	I Assessment Act 1979.	
natural or built environments subject to the recommended conditions of consent.  DA Type:  Integrated Extension of time Review of determination SWCPP Modification  I have considered the report prepared by James Heathcote and dated 30 November 2018. I have considered the recommendation contained in that report relating to this matter, and pursuant to the delegated authority granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:  Determined in accordance with the recommendation:  Name of Delegate: Kate Smith  Position Title: Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.	2. The proposed development is no	ot considered to result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the	
Integrated Extension of time Review of determination SWCPP Modification  I have considered the report prepared by James Heathcote and dated 30 November 2018. I have considered the recommendation contained in that report relating to this matter, and pursuant to the delegated authority granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:  Determined in accordance with the recommendation:  Name of Delegate: Kate Smith  Signature of Delegate:  Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.			
Integrated Extension of time Review of determination SWCPP Modification  I have considered the report prepared by James Heathcote and dated 30 November 2018. I have considered the recommendation contained in that report relating to this matter, and pursuant to the delegated authority granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:  Determined in accordance with the recommendation:  Name of Delegate: Kate Smith  Position Title: Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.			
I have considered the report prepared by James Heathcote and dated 30 November 2018. I have considered the recommendation contained in that report relating to this matter, and pursuant to the delegated authority granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:  Determined in accordance with the recommendation:  Name of Delegate:  Kate Smith  Position Title:  Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority:  EPA01.21  Date of Determination:  30 November 2018.	DA Type:		
the recommendation contained in that report relating to this matter, and pursuant to the delegated authority granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:  Determined in accordance with the recommendation:  Name of Delegate:  Kate Smith  Position Title:  Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority:  EPA01.21  Date of Determination:  30 November 2018.	Integrated Extension of time	Review of determination SWCPP Modification	
Signature of Delegate:  Position Title: Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.	granted by the General Manager (pursuant to Section 377 and Section 378 of the Local Government Act 1993) on 9 October 2013 the application is:		
Signature of Delegate:  Position Title: Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.	Name of Delegate:	Kate Smith	
Position Title: Principal Planner  Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.		Trace of the second	
Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.	orginatary or pologator		
Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.			
Delegates Level of Authority: EPA01.21  Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.	B	District Bloom	
Date of Determination: 30 November 2018.		· ·	
I have reviewed the details of this application and Council's requirements, and also certify that:	Date of Determination:	30 November 2018.	
Section 94 contributions are not applicable to this development.			
Name of Delegate: Kate Smith	Name of Delegate:	Kate Smith	
Signature of Delegate:			
	Date of Determination:	30 November 2018	
	Date of Determination:	30 November 2018	

Document Set ID: 8485415 Version: 1, Version Date: 30/11/2018 Determination of the Development Application is to be advertised under section 101 Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.



Document Set ID: 8485415 Version: 1, Version Date: 30/11/2018